

From: pattonfinancials@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, January 15, 2010 9:49 AM
To: secretary <secretary@CFTC.gov>
Subject: Public Comment Form

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by
(pattonfinancials@yahoo.com) on Friday, January 15, 2010 at 09:48:43

commenter_subject: 2008 Farm Bill

commenter_frdate: 2008

commenter_frpage: PUB L no 110-246

commenter_comments: First, how did forex regulation get in a Farm Bill?

Next, "FCMs and RFEDs would be required to maintain net capital of \$20 million plus 5% of the amount, if any, by which liabilities to retail forex customers exceed \$10 million." This only protects customer with more than 10 million. This does not protect 99% of the average person doing forex trading.

Third, "Leverage in retail forex customer accounts would be subject to a 10-to-1 limitation."

Obviously you have never traded forex. 10 to 1 is too strict. The only thing this will do is take money out of the individual investor and force them to use a big bank or wirehouse that will charge more fees. This is a bad idea.

Finally, "All retail forex counterparties and intermediaries would be required to distribute forex-specific risk disclosure statements to customers" really? Does anyone read or understand the government supplied risk disclosures. The most simple disclosure ever has been the one on tobacco products and no one pays attention to it. Don't add more government and government disclosures.

commenter_name: Anthony Patton

commenter_address1: 1103 Canton Ct

commenter_city: Allen

commenter_state: TX

commenter_zip: 75013

commenter_fax: 8666505934

commenter_phone: 2142260885
