

**From:** peterfontana@bellsouth.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 26, 2010 9:44 AM  
**To:** secretary <secretary@CFTC.gov>  
**Subject:** Public Comment Form

---

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by  
(peterfontana@bellsouth.net) on Tuesday, January 26, 2010 at 09:44:26  
-----

commenter\_subject: Regulation of Retail Forex

commenter\_frdate: January 20, 2010

commenter\_frpage: 75FR3281

commenter\_comments: I strongly object to your proposal to reduced  
margin requirement from 100:1 to 10:1. Forex  
trading is very different from stock trading. The  
daily fluctuations in currencies are much less than  
those of stocks. In a Forex trade the net cost to  
the trader is zero; the margin just protects the  
brokerage firm from losses. All firms have  
automatic protection in place. In addition a lot of  
traders perform Forex hedging operations where only  
a small margin is needed. If the 10:1 margin  
requirement were to become law many trader would  
move their trades to foreign Companies where the  
100:1 would still be in place. Many of these  
foreign Companies are not regulated and the  
deposits by the traders might be in jeopardy. Not  
so long ago a number of firms had a 400:1 margin  
requirement. I agree that such a low margin is  
dangerous for both trader and brokerage firm. The  
current 100:1 is a reasonable compromise and allows  
most traders a satisfactory trading environment.

commenter\_name: Peter Fontana

commenter\_withhold\_address\_on: ON

commenter\_address1: 217 Fiddlers Point Drive

commenter\_city: St. Augustine

commenter\_state: FL

commenter\_zip: 32080

commenter\_fax: 904-471-4299

commenter\_phone: 904-501-5930

-----