

March 7, 2016

Mr. Chris Kirkpatrick Secretary of the Commission Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20581

Re: Request for Comment on Draft Technical Specifications For Certain Swap Data Elements

Dear Mr. Kirkpatrick,

FIX Trading Community¹ appreciates the opportunity to provide the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "**Commission**") with comments in response to the Request for Comment referenced above (the "**Comment Request**"). The subject matter of the Comment Request is on draft technical specifications – including descriptions, allowable values and formats – for certain swap data elements that are reportable under Part 45 and related provisions of the Commission's regulations as well as draft technical specifications for certain swap data elements that are not currently reportable under the Commission's regulations.

We thank the CFTC for the opportunity to respond to this important request for comment. Please see our responses to only those questions which would be applicable to the FIX Protocol on the pages that follow below. Additionally, following our responses to your questions, please see Appendix A which is a table which includes direct comments to the Commission's draft technical specification and specific references to FIXML mapping. We would be more than happy to meet directly to discuss the feedback attached and provide any clarification where needed. In addition to this, we would definitely like to review the Commission's updated technical specifications prior to posting those to your website.

Sincerely,

Courtney Doyle McGuinn FIX Operations Director

FIX Trading Community

courtney.mcguinn@fixtrading.org

212-655-2944

FIX Trading Community is a

¹ FIX Trading Community is a not-for-profit, industry standards organization that sits at the heart of the global electronic trading community. It is industry-driven, independent and neutral, with a membership that is comprised of over 270 firms from the global financial services industry. The organisation aims to address the business challenges impacting the trading community through the use of standards. Central to these efforts is the continuous development and promotion of the Financial Information eXchange ("FIX") Protocol, the non-proprietary, free and open de-facto messaging standard used for pretrade, trade and post-trade communication globally. It is used in all asset classes including equities, derivatives, foreign exchange and fixed income. FIX is utilized by virtually every major stock exchange and investment bank as well as the world's largest mutual funds and money managers, and thousands of information technology providers, smaller investment firms and regulatory bodies across the globe.2 https://www.stevens.edu/fsc/content/thought-leadership/algorithmic-contract-types-unified-standards/the-importance-of-actus



Request for Comment

A. Counterparty-Related Data Elements

1. Are there challenges associated with identifying the Ultimate Parent and/or Ultimate Guarantor of a swap counterparty? If so, how might those challenges be addressed?

We do not believe there are insurmountable issues with identifying the Ultimate Parent and/or Ultimate Guarantor is an "entity" such as a "corporate" or "business" entity. The challenge will be when the Ultimate Parent or Guarantor is a "natural person". However, as noted in the response to the question below, it is presently unclear how the Commission defines a "natural person". The LEI ROC makes a distinction between an "individual acting in a business capacity" versus a "natural person".

2. Are there any additional counterparty-related data elements that should be included to evaluate the risk undertaken by the Ultimate Parent and Ultimate Guarantor?

A consideration is whether the Ultimate Parent/Guarantor is an "individual acting in a business capacity" as defined by the LEI ROC, although if such individuals obtain an LEI then their "entity classification" ought to be captured as part of the LEI registration.

3. When a swap counterparty has more than one Ultimate Parent, including, but not limited to, situations in which an entity is a joint venture, how might this be reflected in a single data element?

We do not think a "single data element" will be able to capture multiple Ultimate Parents. Each Ultimate Parent, of the joint venture entity for example, should be specified with the relationship identified appropriately.

Within the FIX Protocol, we employ a repeating group of Parties, each with a party role. Further, FIX has defined a set of flexible messages to report party relationships. The equivalent data structure would be a table of Parties and a correlation table between the swap and the Parties table.

4. Are there situations in which a natural person is the Ultimate Parent of a swap counterparty? If so, is it clear who should and should not be reported?

It is unclear how "natural person" is defined. If the Commission defines a "natural person" in the same manner as the LEI ROC's definition of "individual acting in a business capacity" then it could be possible that such a "natural" person may be the Ultimate Parent of a swap counterparty.

5. Should the allowable values for Counterparty ID be modified for counterparties that are natural persons? If so, how?

If a "natural person" should be the Ultimate Parent of the swap transaction, the LEI should be used, assuming the Commission defines "natural person" in a similar manner as the LEI ROC's definition of "individuals acting in a business capacity" - see http://www.leiroc.org/publications/gls/lou_20150930-1.pdf. Using any other type of



identifier, such as the person's insurance number, Social Security Number, etc., would pose privacy issues. It would also be beneficial if the Commission would clarify and align definitions of "natural person" with the LEI ROC and regulators in other jurisdictions.

6. Should the Commission propose a definition of a prime broker for this purpose? If so, is the following definition sufficient to describe all forms of prime brokerage in the swap markets?

A prime broker is a party that acts as the credit intermediary for swaps whose terms and conditions are agreed to by (1) a customer of the party providing the credit intermediation and (2) an executing swap dealer, provided that the terms and conditions of the swap fall within the customer-specific limits previously specified by the party providing the credit intermediation?

Is there an alternative definition that would more appropriately capture all forms of prime brokerage relationships and transactions in the swap markets?

Prime brokers not only provide financing for leverage ("credit intermediary" as the Commission refers to it) but other functions to their clients which includes acting as settlement agent, asset custody, and daily position statements for its clients.

7. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

B. Product

8. What are the challenges to reporting industry accepted uniform identifiers? How can those challenges be addressed?

At present, the industry is faced with a lack of clear standards for identifiers for an OTC financial product as well as for underlying indices. For OTC derivatives financial products, the International Standards Organization (ISO) has a study group looking into additional data points to be captured in order to identify an OTC swap instrument using ISIN (ISO 6166) to comply with ESMA RTS 23 directive for MiFID II/MiFIR. Index identifiers should also be standardized under ISO identification schemes and frameworks that allow for extensibility without impeding on product innovations. One possibility is ISO 18774 (Financial Instrument Short Name) which is a standard for "building short names of any kind of financial instrument within a defined structure" - see http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=66153. We feel this standard should be looked at, and enhanced, to be used to describe indices as it does not require the use of ISIN.

We strongly believe that there should be both an identifier (some form of opaque key) and a standard symbology used globally. We believe that the industry should follow Internet based standards for this symbology so that the symbology can be readily used in semantic web technology.



9. If there is not an industry accepted uniform identifier for a particular index, how should the index be represented in swaps data?

Please see above response related to ISO 18774, which has a potential to be expanded to provide a global standard for symbology. The identifier should be based upon an industry standard such as ISIN or FIGI.

The reality is that vendor proprietary symbology are pervasive throughout the commodities industry. Due to lack of fungibility, it is difficult to politically gain agreement across vendors and exchange issuers of commodity instruments. This applies to OTC derivatives as well. Bloomberg, Barchart, Markit, and others all have their own competing symbology.

We encourage the CFTC to participate in the ISO TC68/SC4/SG2 Study Group on the allocation of ISINs for OTC Derivatives instruments as a first step in addressing this inefficiency and unnecessary cost in the industry.

10. What are the challenges to using proprietary identifiers? Do you have recommendations for addressing these challenges?

Proprietary identifiers should be avoided. Where/if possible recognized standard identifiers should be used even if it means approaching the standard organization behind that identifier to engage them. The issue with proprietary identifiers is licensing costs to the industry and the Commission for using said identifiers.

The key challenges to the use of proprietary identifiers is both cost, license administration, and the requirement that proprietary services or systems are required by all market participants that rely on the proprietary identifiers.

Care must be given even when it comes to reportedly "open" international standards. There are still activities around anti-trust and proprietary identifier suppliers in the EU for instance. Within the US, there is concern over the excessive costs to license our national standard for financial instrument identifiers.

11. What are the challenges presented when an identifier for an index is changed? Do you have recommendations for addressing these challenges?

A modern reference database should be able to manage changes in identifiers to any financial instrument. With that said, until very recently, reference data was a neglected area within market participants. Care must be taken to avoid a high frequency of change, as there can be a challenge in properly aggregating historical data upon symbol change. There can be a requirement to modify or enhance poorly written systems across the trading life cycle. The implications can go as far as invalidating publications issued by various market participants.

However, if the change of identifier resulted in a move to a global standard that was available as an international standard that was available at no cost or minimal cost, the industry might embrace the change as being a positive and worth disruption to systems and operations.



12. Do the benefits of mandating a publically available standard reference representations and possibly a central maintenance authority outweigh the potential effect on innovation and competition in the creation of new indices or index identifiers?

We do not feel there is a risk that a standard reference with possibly a central maintenance authority (CMA) would impede innovation and competition. A central maintenance authority requires a carefully constructed governance structure so that the CMA does not place undue burden to define and register new indices and their identifiers. Such a central mechanism, could create greater data quality in reference data and allow the industry, not just the Commission, to compare "like for like" transactions and prices.

There are platforms and technology standards in other areas that demonstrate an open model for centralized governance. The Digital Object Identifier (DOI) system is one example, the Handle system from CNRI in the US, GS1 in manufacturing, distribution, and retail, the Doman Naming system via IANA. Much can be learned from these other organizations that have faced similar challenges.

13. Would using a single source for each index identifier and/or asset class be preferable to using multiple index providers? If so, why, and which providers would you recommend and why?

The issue facing all users of indices is the intellectual property rights (IPR) and licensing terms. The IPR has been well tested within the US legal system and may largely be insurmountable. Multiple index suppliers and their associated IPR protected identifiers may be the reality and a cost that will continue to be absorbed by the end users of financial markets.

14. How should currencies that do not have ISO 4217 codes be represented?

Currencies, such as offshore RMB and other currencies that are tightly controlled with differing offshore vs. onshore rates, do pose a problem not just for regulatory reporting but also for FX trading in general. Ideally this would be addressed at the ISO level within the ISO standard for Currency codes to provide a convention for identifying such currencies using "extensions" to the current standard codes. For example, a suffix type extension to the on-shore currency code to indicate that it is an off-shore code - hypothetical example: CNY for on-shore CNY.O for offshore. Technically this is a possible solution, but politically this may have huge hurdles to overcome. Having an alternative source of codes maintained by another entity, is another possibility, but again there are implications for not only reporting systems, trading systems and clearing systems, but also geopolitical implications. It would be better if there is a single source for this type of identifier.

In addition, cryptocurrencies are under consideration right now within ISO TC68/SC7. FIX has recommended a two tier approach for these digital currencies, whereby there would be a registration process open at a nominal fee for private currencies. This registration process would come with no certification or guarantee on the viability or safety of the digital currency. A second level of identifiers, quite possibly within the ISO



4217 standard, would be an accreditation process that would be reasonably costly that would include verifying to some extent the viability and safety of the digital currency.

15. Is there any uncertainty regarding how Reporting Counterparties should determine and report the Asset Class treated as the primary asset class involved in a multi-asset swap?

FIX and FIXML today provides a hierarchy structure with the AssetClass and AssetSubClass fields, and the SecondaryAssetGrp component which is a repeating group used to carry multiple secondary asset class while maintaining a single/primary asset class for the contract.

16. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

C. Price

17. Are there alternative terms for representing the value exchanged between parties for different asset classes and different types of contracts within each asset class?

As much as possible, market convention should prevail with clear identification of what "price type" is being used in the report. Additionally a way to report equivalent prices under a "non-primary price type" for the type of contract may be desirable, but these should be treated as enrichment information that is to be provided by the reporting part(-ies). The Commission should issue guidelines, working with the industry, to establish/document the "primary price type" for specific types of contracts within each asset class. The Commission may also want to consider a means to capture the alternative price and type if the transaction reported was not conducted using the "primary price type" - what this implies then is that the "primary price type" is derived.

We also encourage the commission to become aware of the work being performed within Project Actus². We believe the work, based upon the book Unified Financial Analysis, is worthy of consideration as part of regulatory management of complex derivatives.

18. Price is currently reported in several ways, including Price, Spread, Percentage, and Upfront Points. Is this list sufficient or should other Allowable Values be added?

These may be sufficient but the Commission should clearly define "Price", "Spread", "Percentage" and "Upfront points" or reference the industry definitions that the Commission will use. E.g. "is "price" the currency value price"? "is "spread" basis points spread or a currency value spread", "is "percentage" a percent of par or something else", "is "upfront points" basis points or percentage points"?

 $^{2\} https://www.stevens.edu/fsc/content/thought-leadership/algorithmic-contract-types-unified-standards/the-importance-of-actus$



19. Should each asset class have a specific list of allowable Price types? If so, please suggest allowable price types.

See response to Question 17 regarding allowing for the specification of a "primary price type" and supplemental alternative equivalents.

20. What additional data elements related to Price should be provided for each asset class or product type to fully reflect the value exchange by counterparties of the swap?

For certain types of contracts, the cash flow direction would be important in order to capture the value exchange and risk exposure of the counterparties. Again, we encourage the Commission to familiarize themselves with the goals of Project Actus that emanated from understanding the cash flows of these contracts.

21. Where a swap uses "post pricing" (e.g., the pricing is determined by an average price over time, volumetric weighted average price, closing price, opening price), how should the Price data element be expressed before the numerical price value is determined for each type of post-priced swap?

FIX uses a PriceType enumeration that provides a closed list of well documented price types. We encourage the Commission to use a similar model. As stated in the response to Question 17, it is very important to clearly identify the price types used by market conventions. Each post pricing or aggregate price type should have a clear, unambiguous, mathematical or algorithm definition. The industry has coalesced around the convention of having both a Price and a clearly defined Price Type.

22. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

D. Notional Amount

23. What challenges exist for reporting of static and/or varying notional amounts, such as a schedule for accreting or amortizing swaps? Do you have recommendations for addressing these challenges?

In FIX/FIXML, we are able to support a schedule of the cash flow or delivery. At present, we do not foresee challenges as multiple types of schedules can be supported.

25. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.



E. Additional Fixed Payments

26. What challenges may exist for reporting Additional Fixed Payments? If so, what alternative approaches are available?

In FIX/FIXML today, we are able to accommodate these additional payments in our TradeCaptureReport and PositionReport messages. It remains unclear whether this type of information is needed or required in an AccountSummaryReport. If they are deemed necessary in the AccountSummaryReport we would work with the Commission to eliminate the gaps.

27. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

F. Options

28. Do the allowable values for Option Type clearly and properly reflect the possible outcomes resulting from an option exercise as they relate to the underlying contract?

We believe so.

29. Do the allowable values for Option Strike Type properly reflect the range of appropriate entries for this data element?

The list looks reasonable. We could not identify additional strike types. We might suggest that a value of "Other" be supported.

30. Does the definition of Option Strike adequately describe the range of entries for this data element?

Yes

31. Do the allowable values for Option Premium Amount Type properly reflect the range of appropriate entries for this data element?

Yes

32. How should the Embedded Option Indicator data element be defined? Should optional termination rights at the market price of the swap, "tear up" swaps and/or "First Method" style termination rights be considered embedded options?

From a financial theory standpoint, these are embedded options and the pricing should reflect the availability of embedded options.

33. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.



A note regarding the Option Style field. We suggest that the name be changed to Option Exercise Style. FIX has included an additional enumeration of other, as there are additional exercise styles that could exist outside of American, European, and Bermudan.

As a general pattern, the Commission should define a process for inclusion of additional enumeration values as needed, for instance when there are a sufficient number of trades being reported that have a different option exercise style. We also suggest that the use of the other category be instituted, but that the submitter would be required to include a text description if the value of Other was selected.

G. Orders

34. Is a single Order ID sufficient to access historical order information? If not, what other identifier(s) would be sufficient to access historical order information?

In FIX/FIXML, we have IDs assigned by both sides, and possibly IDs assigned by the execution venue and clearinghouses. It is possible, for example, in a TradeCaptureReport message to convey all of these types of IDs. The TradeOrderDetail component within the TradeCaptureReport would be relevant here to capture aspects of this data.

FIX alsos supports the notion of a secondary order id.

35. What challenges exist for reporting this type of order information for a particular swap traded on or subject to the rules of a SEF or DCM? Do you have recommendations for addressing these challenges?

The challenge may be an implication for the SEF and DCM to capture, maintain and also report this information. Additionally, there is the complexity of how to deal with order amendments particularly in the CLOB trading mode. An RFQ model may or may not have a customer assigned order identifier. Perhaps what is needed is less the end customer's assigned identifier for their order, but the order identifier assigned centrally by the SEF or DCM and identified as such in the reporting to an SDR. This may be more in line with how Commission Staff has defined order ID. However, the question also is "what is the relationship if any between an "order ID" and the USI/UTI"? Can a single order be associated with multiple USI?

36. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

H. Package Transactions

37. Are the proposed data elements appropriate in identifying which swaps are executed as component legs of a package transaction?



The overall structure does seem suitable for indicating swaps that are part of package transactions. The "Package Trade Price" must be looked at realistically. Not all packages will have a price at the whole or single economic unit, only in the constituent legs.

38. Are there any unique characteristics to certain types of package transactions that Staff should account for in devising data elements?

The one issue noted in the response to question 37 is the availability of an overall package price.

39. Should the data elements provide pricing for each component of a package transaction, or is it sufficient to only provide (1) pricing for the swap components only; or (2) price for the entire package?

The data elements should provide for pricing for each component / constituent leg of the package, but identified that they are part of a package. If the transaction is done at the entire package level, then those should be reported as such but it should not be forced as a requirement when there is no such price available.

40. Should the data elements specifically identify the types of non-swap instrument component legs in the package transaction?

Probably not if it does not fall under CFTC jurisdiction.

41. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

I. Clearing

43. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

J. Periodic Reporting

44. To represent that the reporting counterparties and the SDRs have confirmed data accuracy, is there a methodology better than reporting the Data Accuracy Confirmation by Counterparty data element?

There are some concerns that this methodology will increase processing costs for reporting firms that report to the SDR. FIX has a pre-settlement confirmation/affirmation model that is used within equities and cash fixed income markets that has been adopted by parts of the industry that is similar to what is being prescribed by the CFTC. This data confirmation process being requested by the CFTC may be the only way to improve data quality within the SDR.



45. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

46. Are there any challenges for reporting the updated next reset date as the floating leg resets over time?

How would the Commission identify that this report is an update without double counting reporting? There seems to be no discussion of that. Who is responsible for reporting this? Both parties or only one party in the trade or the clearinghouse if a cleared swap or the payer/receiver or the last reporting party?

47. Is there a different methodology for Staff to know the updated next reset date that is more efficient than the reporting of the Next Reset Date data element?

Reporting the next reset date may add to volume of data to be reported. Reset date schedule and frequencies should be reported as part of the transaction. A consideration is to report if only there is a change to the reset date schedule and frequencies, or some other change that may affect the rest date.

48. Is there a better methodology or should Staff provide more guidance on reporting the Valuation Amount?

The data elements that Commission Staff have identified can easily be accommodated by FIX/FIXML.

52. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

54. What are the challenges to reporting Independent Amount/Initial Margin and Variation Margin amounts separately? Do you have recommendations for addressing these challenges?

FIX/FIXML is able to allow the reporting of the Initial Margin and Variation margin separately in the AccountSummaryReport as needed. It would be up to the reporting party to provide the information.

58. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

K. Events

60. Are there other ways to resolve the challenges encountered by Staff in understanding swap events? If so, please provide details regarding how these



potential solutions illustrate both: (i) all of the events impacting a swap and (ii) the current status of a transaction?

We believe that the industry should help to identify the life cycle events of the major largely standardized swaps and that the events be captured and available for audit or reporting. Participants should also define additional events that may occur. The overall structure of a state model representing events and the ability to indicate resultant revisions to the USI seems suitable.

61. What are some of the challenges with the Event Types listed below? If so, please provide suggestions to address them.

The list of event types appears to be rather granular.

65. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

L. Rates

66. How should swap data reporting adapt to changing indices/benchmarks and/or bespoke indices/benchmarks used for the floating leg(s) of a swap?

It is unclear whether this question is regarding a change of the indices/benchmarks or a change in the price of the indices/benchmark.

67. Should swap data reporting select the multiplier approach or the effective notional approach? Please provide reasons for your selection.

The effective notional approach would be less ambiguous and result in fewer errors in determining cash flow risks and in understanding the economic terms of the contract.

M. Foreign Exchange

70. What are the swap data elements best suited to link the spot and forward components of a foreign exchange swap?

In FIX/FIXML, a reportable FX swap with a spot and forward component would be modeled as a spot leg and a forward leg of the trade report.

72. Please provide feedback on any aspect of the draft technical specifications for the data elements presented below.

Please see Appendix A starting on page 14.

O. General Questions

73. Are any of the Data Elements listed herein unclear? Do any Data elements require greater standardization?



The Commission Staff should consider use of the Market Identification Code (MIC), ISO 10383), standard for identifying the Execution Venue ID, instead of the LEI.

74. Are any of the Descriptions inconsistent with common industry usage or your utilization of the data element?

The DeliveryType allowable values appear to be a mix of concepts. Cash is always the delivery or settlement method for an FX NDF as the delivery/settlement is deliverable in another currency. The NDF itself is an "attribute" of the contract. This should not be a form of delivery type. The DeliveryType value of Auction would need a definition for better understanding of such a delivery/settlement method.

77. Should "date" related Data Elements be adjusted or unadjusted?

It should follow market convention by default and if the reported transactions use nonconvention then it should be clearly stated.

78. Is the Day Count Convention list of allowable values sufficient?

This list appears to be comprehensive.



<u>Appendix A - Draft Technical Specifications for Certain Swap Data Elements - FIX Response to CFTC</u>

| A. COUNTERPARTY-RELATED DATA ELEMENTS | 14 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| B. Product | 22 |
| C. Price | 22 |
| D. NOTIONAL AMOUNT | 23 |
| E. Additional Fixed Payments | 24 |
| F. OPTIONS | 27 |
| G. Orders | 30 |
| H. PACKAGE TRANSACTIONS | |
| I. Clearing | 35 |
| J. Periodic Reporting | 37 |
| (a) Reconciliation | 37 |
| J. Periodic Reporting | 39 |
| (b) Next Reset Date | 39 |
| J. Periodic Reporting | 39 |
| (c) Valuation | 39 |
| J. Periodic Reporting | 41 |
| (d) Collateral/Margin | 41 |
| K. Events | 44 |
| L. Rates | 49 |
| M. Foreign Exchange | 52 |
| N. Other Data Elements | 54 |

| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Λ | Counternarty-Re | lated data elements | | | |
| ~ . | Counterpurty-Ne | idled data elements | | | |
| 1 | Counterparty ID | Unique code identifying the | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | counterparty. | valid Legal Entity | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| 2 | Special entity/utility | The terms "special entity" and "utility | SE | Varchar | [GAP] |
| | special entity | special entity" are defined at | USE | | This would be satisfied by a new PartySubIDType: |
| | Indicator | 23.401(c) and | N | | |
| | | 1.3 (ggg)(4)(i)(B)(2), respectively. | | | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | Note that "utility special entity" is a | | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | subset of "special entity." | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | SE = Special entity - Special Entities | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | that are not Utility Special Entities | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | should select SE as their entry. | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <value></value> |
| | | USE = Utility special entity - Utility | | | @Typ= <tbd> (Special entity indicator)</tbd> |
| | | special entities should select USE as | | | |
| | | their entry. | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | N = Counterparty is not a special | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | entity or utility special entity | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <value></value> |
| | | | | | @Typ= <tbd> (Special entity indicator)</tbd> |
| 3 | Third Party | | • | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Reporter ID | SEF. | valid Legal Entity | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | | @R=73 (Execution Venue) or 116 (Reporting entity) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| <u> </u> | | | | | @R=73 (Execution Venue) or 116 (Reporting entity) |
| 4 | | An entity submitting the data on | , | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | behalf of a registered entity or swap | valid Legal Entity | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | counterparty to the SDR as allowed | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | by § 45.9. | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | The submitter ID will be the same as | | | @R=116 (Reporting entity) |
| | | the reporting party ID or Third Party | | | |
| | | Reporter ID, unless either uses | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Pty/ |
| | | another service provider to submit | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | the data to SDR. | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=116 (Reporting entity) |
| 5 | Ultimate Parent | The term "Ultimate Parent" is | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | defined at § 45.6(a). | valid Legal Entity | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | , | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Typ=54 (Parent firm identifier) |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| 1 | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Typ=54 (Parent firm identifier) |
| 6 | Ultimate | | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Guarantor | | valid Legal Entity | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=112 (Guarantor) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=112 (Guarantor) |
| 7 | Counterparty | ldentifies the exclusion on which | NE | Varchar | [GAP] |
| | Dealing Activity | counterparty relies to exclude the | IDI | | This would be satisfied by a new PartySubIDType: |
| | Exclusion Type | swap from dealing activity. | IA | | |
| | | | COOP | | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | | PHYS | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | swap for the CP: | FLR | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | NonUS | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | , , , , , , | CMPRS | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | reported, else multiple entries may | IFI | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | be reported for the same swap | FX | | Sub/ |
| | | | СТО | | @ID= <value></value> |
| | | Swaps not considered in determining | | | @Typ= <tbd> (Activity exclusion type)</tbd> |
| | | whether the counterparty is a swap | | | |
| | | dealer: | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | 10. 64.04 | | | Pty/ |
| | | IDI = §1.3(ggg)(5): Insured depository | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | institution swaps in connection with | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | originating loans to customers | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | IA = §1.3(ggg)(6)(i): Inter-affiliate | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | activities | | | Sub/ |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | COOP = §1.3(ggg)(6)(ii): Activities of a cooperative | | | @ID= <value></value> @Typ= <tbd> (Activity exclusion type)</tbd> |
| | | PHYS = §1.3(ggg)(6)(iii): Swaps entered into for the purpose of hedging physical positions | | | |
| | | FLR = §1.3(ggg)(6)(iv): Swaps entered into by floor traders | | | |
| | | NonUS = Non-US Person | | | |
| | | CMPRS = CFTC Staff Letter No. 12-62 (Dec. 21, 2012): Compression exercise swaps | | | |
| | | IFI = 77 FR at 30693: International Financial Institutions | | | |
| | | FX = Treasury Determination, 77 FR at 69705: FX swap exclusion | | | |
| | | CTO = Regulation 32.3; 77 FR 25320, 25326, note 39, Apr. 27, 2012; see generally 77 FR 25320 at 25325-29: (Commodity Trade Options) | | | |
| | US Person | | | | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Indicator for Ultimate Guarantor | | N | | RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=112 (Guarantor) |
| | | | | | Sub/ @ID= Y |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | @Typ=48 (U.S. Person) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=112 (Guarantor) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= Y |
| | | | | | @Typ=48 (U.S. Person) |
| 9 | US Person | | Υ | | [GAP] |
| | Indicator for Ultimate | | N | | This would be satisfied by a new PartySubIDType: |
| | Parent | | | | |
| | | | | | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | | | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Typ=54 (Parent firm identifier) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= Y |
| | | | | | @Typ= <tbd> (Parent is U.S. Person)</tbd> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | @Typ=54 (Parent firm identifier) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= Y |
| | | | | | @Typ= <tbd> (Parent is U.S. Person)</tbd> |
| 10 | Counterparty US | | Υ | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Person Indicator | | N | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= Y |
| | | | | | @Typ=48 (U.S. Person) |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= Y |
| | | | | | @Typ=48 (U.S. Person) |
| 11 | Reporting | The Reporting Counterparty as | , | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Counterparty ID | determined in accordance with 45.8. | valid Legal | | RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | | Entity Identifiers | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | ("LEIs") | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID=Y |
| | | | | | @Typ=49 (Reporting entity indicator) |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|---|--|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=49 (Reporting entity indicator)</party> |
| 12 | Counterparty Financial Entity Indicator | An indication of whether the counterparty is a financial entity as defined in CEA § 2(h)(7)(C). | Y N | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=48 (Financial entity) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Pty/ @ID=<party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=48 (Financial entity)</party></party> |
| 13 | Prime Brokerage Indicator | Indicator of if a counterparty is acting as a prime broker for the other counterparty for the reported swap. | Y N | Char(1) | [GAP] This would be satisfied by a new PartySubIDType: TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI)</party> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ= <tbd> (Acting as prime broker for opposite trading party) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Pty/ @ID=<party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=<tbd> (Acting as prime broker for opposite</tbd></party></tbd> |
| В. | Product | | | | trading party) |
| | Asset Class | This data element identifies the asset class for the swap. | Credit Rates ForeignExchange Commodity Equity | Varchar | All messages: Instrmt/ @AssetClss= <val></val> |
| C. | Price | | | | |
| 15 | Par Spread | The spread used to quote CDS indices. | Points | Format: 5 digit decimal precision Example: 1 basis point will be represented as 0.00010 | TrdCaptRpt: @PxTyp=22 (Basis points) @LastPx= <price> PosRpt & AccSumRpt: @PxTyp=22 (Basis points) @CntgPx=<pri>@CntgPx=<pri>@PxTyp=22 (Basis points)</pri></pri></price> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| 16 | Price | The price per swap excluding, where applicable, commission and accrued interest. | Numeric value of zero or greater | 5 digit decimal precision | <pre>@PxTyp=2 (Per unit) @LastPx=<price> PosRpt & AccSumRpt: @PxTyp=22 (Basis points) @CntgPx=<price></price></price></pre> |
| 17 | Price Type | The type of pricing that is reported in the "Price" data element. | Price Spread Percentage Upfront Points | Varchar | See also 17/Price Type below. All messages: @PxTyp= <type> 2 = per unit (i.e. contract) 6 = Spread (yield against benchmark) 12 = Price spread 22 = Basis points 23 = Upfront points 24 = Interest rate 25 = Percentage of notional Some clarification of the terms "price" and "percentage" is needed.</type> |
| | Price Currency | An indication of the currency of the price if the price type is a price. | Valid ISO 4217 currency code | Format: 3-character alphabetical Standard: ISO 4217 currency code | All messages: @Ccy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | Notional Amoun | | | | |
| 19 | Notional Amount | The notional amount reflects the reference amount from which the contractual payments are determined. | Numeric value of zero or greater. | 5 digit decimal precision | All messages: Instrmt/Strm/@Notl= <notional></notional> |
| 20 | Notional Currency | The currency associated with the notional amount | Valid ISO 4217 currency code | 3-character alphabetical Standard: ISO 4217 currency code | All messages: Instrmt/Strm/@Ccy= <currency></currency> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| F | Additional Fixed | Payments | | | |
| | Additional Fixed | Numeric amount of Additional Fixed Payment | Numeric value of zero or greater | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: Pmt/ @Typ=2 (Independent amount) or 3 (Principal exchange) @Amt= <amount> AcctSumRpt: [GAP: message does not include <pmt>] It is not clear whether this information is needed in the</pmt></amount> |
| 22 | | Currency code for Additional Fixed Payment | Valid ISO 4217 currency code | Format: 3-character alphabetical Standard: ISO 4217 currency code | AcctSumRpt message. TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: Pmt/ @Typ=2 (Independent amount) or 3 (Principal exchange) @Ccy= <ccy> AcctSumRpt: [GAP: message does not include <pmt>] It is not clear whether this information is needed in the</pmt></ccy> |
| 23 | Additional Fixed Payment Date | Date of Additional Fixed Payment (paid / received) | Valid date | Format: YYYY-MM- DD Standard: ISO 8601 UTC | AcctSumRpt message. TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: Pmt/ @Typ=2 (Independent amount) or 3 (Principal exchange) @Dt= <date> Note: Other attributes may be used for an unadjusted or relative date. AcctSumRpt: [GAP: message does not include <pmt>] It is not clear whether this information is needed in the</pmt></date> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt message. |
| 24 | Additional Fixed | LEI of Payer of Additional Fixed | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Payment Payer ID | Payment | valid Legal | | Pmt/ |
| | | | Entity Identifiers | | @Typ=2 (Independent amount) or 3 (Principal |
| | | | ("LEIs") | | exchange) |
| | | | | | @PayDesc= <paydesc></paydesc> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <paydesc></paydesc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=74 (Payer) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Note: The <pty @typ="<tbd" sub=""> @ID/> attribute</pty> |
| | | | | | quotes the <pmt @paydesc=""></pmt> attribute identifying |
| | | | | | that party as the payer of the payment. The value of |
| | | | | | <pre><pty @typ="" approved="" been="" for="" has="" into="" pre="" release="" soon.<="" standard="" sub="" the=""></pty></pre> |
| | | | | | the standard soon. |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |
| | | | | | It is not clear whether this information is needed in the |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt message. |
| 25 | Additional Fixed | Enumerated list of types of fixed | Initial Exchange | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Payment Type | payments | | | Pmt/ @Typ and @SubTyp |
| | | | Interim Exchange | | |
| | | | Final Exchange | | Most of the required values are supported. A few are |
| | | | Credit: Interest | | [GAP]s. |
| | | | Shortfall | | |
| | | | Reimbursement | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | Credit: Principal | | It is not clear whether this information is needed in the AcctSumRpt message. |
| | | | Shortfall | | Accisumkpt message. |
| | | | Reimbursement | | |
| | | | Credit: Write Down | | |
| | | | Reimbursement | | |
| | | | Rembarsement | | |
| | | | Brokerage | | |
| | | | Unwind | | |
| | | | Correction | | |
| | | | Cancellation | | |
| | | | Amendment | | |
| | | | Novation | | |
| | | | Currency: Premium | | |
| | | | Exchange | | |
| | | | Compression | | |
| | | | Partial Termination | | |
| | | | Full Termination | | |
| | | | Other | | |
| | | | Initial Payment | | |
| 26 | A daliki a mad Einnad | LEI of Receiver of Additional Fixed | Amount | 150 47442 | TudGuntDut & DavBut |
| 26 | Additional Fixed | | | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: Pmt/ |
| | Payment Receiver ID | Payment | valid Legal Entity Identifiers | | @Typ=2 (Independent amount) or 3 (Principal |
| | | | ("LEIs") | | exchange) |
| | | | (LLIS) | | @PayDesc=< paydesc> |
| | | | | | er dybese- \payuese |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <paydesc></paydesc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=75 (Receiver) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Note:See (Payer) above. |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |
| | | | | | It is not clear whether this information is needed in the |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt message. |
| | | | | l | |
| F | Options | | | | |
| | | h c., | lo 1 1 | 100 47442 | T |
| 27 | Option Buyer ID | Identity of the buyer of an option | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TradeCaptRpt: |
| | | | valid Legal | | RptSide/@Side=1 (Buy) Pty/ |
| | | | Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | (LEIS) | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | (Order origination min) |
| | | | | | PosRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Qty/@Long= <qty></qty> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| 1 | | | | | Pty/ |
| 1 | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| 1 | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=3 (Client ID) |
| 1 | | | | | Amt/@Typ=LSNV |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|------------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| | Option Seller ID | Identity of the seller of an option | | ISO 17442 | TradeCaptRpt: RptSide/@Side=2 (Sell) Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) PosRpt: Pty/ @ID=<party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=3 (Client ID) Qty/@Short=<qty> AcctSumRpt: Pty/ @ID=<party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=3 (Client ID) AcctSumRpt: Pty/ @ID=<party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=3 (Client ID) Amt/@Typ=SSNV</party></party></qty></party></party> |
| 29 | Option Strike | The level or price at which an option may be exercised. | or greater | decimal precision Note: If a percentage, floating | or Instrmt/ @StrkSpread= <price></price> |
| 30 | Option Strike | Identifies the type of the option | Price | | All messages: |
| | Туре | strike price. | Spread | | [GAP] |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|----------------|--|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | FX Rate | | |
| | | | Percentage | | |
| | | | Upfront Points | | |
| | | | Interest Rate | | |
| 31 | Option Strike | The currency of the option strike | | Format: 3-character | All messages: |
| | Currency | price if | • | alphabetical Standard: | Instrmt/ @StrkCcy=< ccy> |
| | | the option strike price type is a price. | | ISO 4217 currency code | |
| | Option Premium | The amount a buyer pays for an | Numeric value | 5 digit decimal | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Amount | option | | precision | Pmt/ |
| | | | | | @Typ=10 (Option premium) |
| | | | | | @Amt= <amount></amount> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |
| | Option Premium | Describes how the option premium | | Varchar | All messages: |
| | | amount is being represented. | Spread | | [GAP] |
| | Option Premium | An indication of the currency of the | | Format: 3-character | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Currency | option premium amount if the | • | alphabetical Standard: | Pmt/ |
| | | amount type is a price. | | ISO 4217 currency code | @Typ=10 (Option premium) |
| | | | | | @Ccy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | | | | | A cat Cross Dante |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |
| 25 | Ontion Tuno | A description of the right to which | Right to Pay | Varchar | |
| 33 | Option Type | A description of the right to which the | Right to Receive | Varchar | All messages: Instrmt/ @PutCall |
| | | reporting party is entitled. Right to | Right to Buy | | instituty @FutCan |
| | | Pay and Right to Receive are | Protection Right to | | Put and Call are supported, Chooser is not. We |
| | | applicable for interest rate swaptions | _ | | interpret "Chooser" to mean "Straddle". But a |
| | | only. Right to Buy protection and | Put Chooser | | straddle option muddles the payer and receiver of |
| | | Right to Sell protection are | i di Ciloosei | | the underlying, so we recommend using two options |
| | | applicable to credit index | | | – a put and a call – with different identical |
| | | swaptions only. | | | underlyings having opposite payer and receiver. |
| | | Swaptions offig. | | | Rights are supported indirectly through identifying |
| | | | | | nights are supported mairectly through identifying |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|-------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | | | the payer and receiver of the underlying asset. |
| 36 | Earliest Exercise | Earliest time that an option may be | Valid date- | Format: YYYY-MM- | All messages: |
| | Datetime | exercised. | timestamp greater | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: | Instrmt/OptExer/Dts/ |
| | | | than the date and | ISO 8601/ UTC | @StartDtUnadj= <date></date> |
| | | | time for execution | | @ErlstTm= <time></time> |
| | | | and less than the | | @TmBizCtr= bizctr> |
| | | | date and time | | |
| | | | Scheduled | | |
| | | | Termination Date | | |
| 37 | Final Exercise | An indication of the date after which | Valid date- | Format: YYYY-MM- | All messages: |
| | Datetime | the | timestamp | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: | Instrmt/OptExer/Dts/ |
| | | option is no longer available for | | ISO 8601/ UTC | @LastDtUnadj=< date> |
| | | exercise. | | | @LtstTm= <time></time> |
| | | | | | @TmBizCtr= <bizctr></bizctr> |
| 38 | Option Style | An indication of the exercise style of | American | Varchar | All messages: |
| | | the | Bermudan | | Instrmt/ @ExerStyle= <style></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>option transaction.</td><td>European</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>39</td><td>Embedded</td><td>An indication of whether or not the</td><td>Υ</td><td>Char(1)</td><td>All messages:</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Option Indicator</td><td>option data elements are for an</td><td>N</td><td></td><td>Any instance of</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>embedded option</td><td></td><td></td><td>Instrmt/Prov is an embedded option.</td></tr><tr><th></th><th>Orders Order ID</th><th>Order ID refers to a numeric ID</th><th>Unique code</th><th>Varchar</th><th>TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt:</th></tr><tr><td>40</td><td>Order ID</td><td>assigned by the SEF or DCM, for each</td><td>•</td><td>Valcilai</td><td>RegTrdID/</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>counterparty, that refers to the order</td><td></td><td></td><td>@ID=<tradeid></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>to trade the swap that led to the</td><td>SEP OF DCIVI</td><td></td><td>@Src=<assigning entity></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>transaction. Order ID should be</td><td></td><td></td><td>@Event=0 (Initial block trade)</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>unique by execution venue and date.</td><td></td><td></td><td>@Typ=<current, previous, block, etc.></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>diffique by execution venue and date.</td><td></td><td></td><td>w typ-<current, previous, block, etc.></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>AcctSumRpt:</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Not applicable</td></tr><tr><td>Δ1</td><td>Order</td><td>Time the order was received by the</td><td>Valid date time</td><td>Format: YYYY-MM-</td><td>TrdCaptRpt:</td></tr><tr><td>71</td><td>DateTimestamp</td><td>SEF or DCM</td><td>vana date time</td><td>DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard:</td><td>• •</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Date illiestamp</td><td>PET OF DOINT</td><td>L</td><td>DD IIII.IIIII.332 Stalldard.</td><td>Truncg 13/</td></tr></tbody></table></style> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|------------------|---|------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | ISO 8601/ UTC | @TS= <utc timestamp=""></utc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=9 (Orderbook entry time) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | 5 | Not applicable |
| 42 | Match | Time the order was matched by the | | Format: YYYY-MM- | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | DateTimestamp | SEF or DCM | | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: | . |
| | | | | ISO 8601/ UTC | @TS= <utc timestamp=""></utc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=1 (Execution time) |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Not applicable |
| 12 | Price Discovery | RFQ = Request for Quote | RFQ | Varchar | All messages: |
| 45 | i rice Discovery | 1 | AUC | Varchai | [GAP] |
| | | CLOB = Central Limit Order Book AIM | | | [OAII] |
| | | = Actionable Indicative Message RFC | | | There are several near matches in FIXML but no one |
| | | = Request for Cross | AIM | | field that captures the requirement. Clarification |
| | | · | RFC | | would be needed regarding this data requirement in |
| | | PME = Permitted Method of | ORD | | order to model correctly. |
| | | | PME | | , |
| | | Quote | VRFQ | | @VenuTyp |
| | | | | | Q = quote driven market, i.e. RFQ |
| | | | | | B = central limit order book, i.e. CLOB |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | @TrdSubTyp |
| | | | | | 42 = auction trade, i.e. AUC |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | @ExecMeth |
| | | | | | 3 Voice brokered, i.e. VRFQ |
| 44 | Price Order | Price specific order designation. | | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | | MIT STOP LIMIT | | RptSide/TrdRptOrdDetl/@OrdTyp |
| | | Market = Market order – An order to | LIT | | 1 = Market |
| | | buy (or sell) a product at the bid/offer price currently available in | TOP | | |
| | | piu/offer price currently available in | 104 | | J = Market if touched (MIT) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | the | | | 3 = Stop |
| | | marketplace. | | | 2 = Limit |
| | | MIT = Market if Touched – An order | | | 4 = Stop Limit |
| | | to buy (or sell) below (or above) the | | | <tbd>= Limit if touched (LIT) [GAP]</tbd> |
| | | market. When trigger price is | | | |
| | | touched, the order is submitted as a | | | RptSide/TrdRptOrdDetl/@ExecInst |
| | | market order. | | | Z = Cancel if not best (i.e. TOP) |
| | | STOP = Stop Order – Stop order | | | |
| | | becomes a market order at the | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | specified stop price. | | | Not applicable |
| | | LIMIT = Limit order – An order to buy | | | |
| | | (or sell) at a specified price or better. | | | |
| | | STOP LIMIT – Stop limit – Stop limit | | | |
| | | order becomes limit order at | | | |
| | | specified price. | | | |
| | | LIT = Limit if touched - An order to | | | |
| | | buy (or sell) below (or above) the | | | |
| | | market at the limit price or better. | | | |
| | | When trigger price is touched, the | | | |
| | | order becomes a limit order. | | | |
| | | TOP = Order set to either the best bid | | | |
| | | or offer price. Order will be cancelled | | | |
| | | if no longer best bid or offer. | | | |
| 45 | Customer type | Distinguishes from whom and on | | | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | | 1 | EBFP | | @Cpcty |
| | | | EBAB | | |
| | | · · · · | EBFC | | PosRpt: |
| | | executing broker for his own account. | | | @PosCpcty |
| | | EBFP = For orders placed by an | | | |
| | | executing broker for a firm propriety | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | account. | | | [GAP] |
| | | EBAB = For orders placed by an | | | |
| | | executing broker who also has access | | | |
| | | to the system. | | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | EBFC = For orders placed by an executing broker on behalf of the customer. | | | |
| 46 | Execution Type | Identifies instruments as required or permitted transactions on a SEF. REQ = Required PERM = Permitted | REQ PERM | Varchar | @RegTxnTyp PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 47 | Order Source | The source of where the order came from. EXCH = Exchange activity BLOCK = Off exchange block trade EDRP = Exchange derivatives for related positions XFER = Transfers CUST = Portfolio Compression transactions GIV = Giveup VOICE = Voice trade BUST = SEF busted trade | EXCH BLOCK EDRP XFER CUST GIV VOICE BUST | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: @TrdTyp Most of the required values are supported. A few are [GAP]s. PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| | Block Trade Election Indicator Package Transa | This data element indicates that an election has been made to report the swap as a block or large notional off-facility swap either by the reporting party or as calculated by the SDR acting as a third party for the Reporting Entity. | Y | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: @TrdTyp=58 (Block swap trade) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| | | | 1 | 1 | |
| 49 | Package Trade Price | A package transaction is a transaction involving two or more instruments: (1) that is executed between two or | Numeric value of zero or greater. | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaptRpt: @TrdTyp=65 (Package trade) @LastPx= <price></price> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--|--|---|-----------------|--|
| | | more counterparties; (2) that is priced or quoted as one economic transaction, collection of swaps, securities, loans or other products that are traded as a single unit (one economic transaction). The data element will capture the traded price of the entire package or strategy in which the reported swap is a component. | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 50 | Package/Strategy ID | A package transaction is a transaction involving two or more instruments: (1) that is executed between two or more counterparties; (2) that is priced or quoted as one economic | that will uniquely identify the package or strategy that includes the | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: @PackageID= <id> PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</id> |
| | Package Contains Non- CFTC Swap Components | The data element will indicate if the | Y N | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: [GAP] PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 52 | Package Trade Price Type | type | Price Spread Percentage Upfront Points | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: @TrdTyp=65 (Package trade) @PxTyp= <type> PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</type> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| 53 | Package Trade Price Currency | An indication of the currency of the package trade price if the package trade price type is a price. | Valid ISO 4217 currency code | Format: 3-character alphabetical Standard: ISO 4217 currency code | TrdCaptRpt: @TrdTyp=65 (Package trade) @Ccy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 54 | Clearing Exemption Type | The type of clearing exemption(s) that is/are claimed by the counterparty. Exceptions and exemptions to the swap clearing requirement. All applicable clearing exceptions must be selected: NF-50.50 [non-financial end-user exception] SB-50.50(d) [small bank end-user exception] FC-50.51 [financial cooperative exemption] IA-50.52 [inter-affiliate exemption] NAL "Free Text" - The term entity entering the data for this data element must enter No Action letter | NF-50.50 SB-50.50(d) FC-50.51 IA-50.52 NAL "Free Text" | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: @ClrReqmtExcptn 3 = Inter-affiliate exception 5 = Cooperative exception NF [GAP] SB [GAP] NAL [GAP] PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| | | and Free text with the letter number (e.g. "NAL 14- 144). | | | |
| <i>l</i> . (| Clearing | | | | |
| 55 | Clearing Organization ID | Clearing Organization ID should be populated with the valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") of the clearing organization that has cleared the | Only current and valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | ISO 17442 | All messages: Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI, ISO 17442)</party> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | swap. | | | @R=21 (Clearing organization) |
| 56 | Intent to Clear Indicator | Indication of whether the swap is expected to be cleared. For swaps that have resulted from the clearing of a previous swap that has been | Y N | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: @ClrIntn PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | since terminated, this data element should be populated with a value of "N". | | | Not applicable |
| 57 | Mandatory Clearing Indicator | Indication of whether the characteristics of the swap meet the requirements for mandatory clearing. | Y N | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: @MandClrInd PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| | Cleared Date Time Stamp | The date time stamp of when the trade was accepted for clearing. Reported by derivatives clearing organization. If the time portion is unknown, it should be designated as midnight UTC (00:00:00Z) on the date accepted for clearing. | • | Format: YYYY-MM- DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | TrdCaptRpt: TrdRegTS/ @TS= <utc timestamp=""> @Typ=19 (Cleared) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</utc> |
| 59 | Counterparty ID Claiming Clearing Exemption | The ID of the Counterparty claiming the clearing exemption(s) | Only current and valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=50 (Elected clearing requirement exception)</party> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID=Y |
| | | | | | @Typ=50 (Elected clearing requirement |
| | | | | | exception) |
| | | | | | prooption |

J. Periodic Reporting

(a) Reconciliation

| 60 Part 43/45/46 | Indicates if the record is being | 43 | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---|
| | submitted pursuant to part 43, part | 45 | | @RegRptTyp |
| | 45, or part 46, or both part 43 and | 46 | | 0 = Real-time (RT) |
| | part 45. | 43,45 | | 1 = Primary economic terms (PET) |
| | | | | 2 = Snapshot |
| | | | | 3 = Confirmation (i.e. cleared) |
| | | | | 4 = Combination of RT and PET |
| | | | | 5 = Combination of PET and confirmation |
| | | | | 6 = Combination of RT, PET and confirmation |
| | | | | 7 = Post-trade valuation |
| | | | | 9 = Post-trade event |
| | | | | 10 = Post-trade event RT reportable |
| | | | | @HistrclRpt |
| | | | | Y = historical report (part 46) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | Not applicable |
| 61 Data Accuracy | Indication of whether or not each | Affirm | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt: |
| Confirmation by | counterparty to a trade has actively | Dispute | | @TrdRptStat |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|---|---|--|------------------|--|---|
| | Counterparty | affirmed, actively disputed, or failed to affirm that the SDR's record of its trade is correct. | FailedToRespond | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| | | The value FailedToRespond means no active affirmation or dispute has been received within 48 hours of trade. | | | |
| | last open swaps reconciliation with CP | | timestamp | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | TrdCaptRpt: [GAP] This would be satisfied by a new TrdRegTimestampType: TrdRegTS/ @TS= <utc timestamp=""> @Typ=<tbd> (Last open swaps reconciliation with trading party) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</tbd></utc> |
| | | | timestamp | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | TrdCaptRpt: [GAP] This would be satisfied by a new TrdRegTimestampType: TrdRegTS/ @TS= <utc timestamp=""> @Typ=<tbd>(Last open swaps reconciliation with data repository) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</tbd></utc> |
| | Dissemination ID | Links the ID of the publicly disseminated swap, as it appears on | | | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: RegTrdID/ |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | the part 43 real-time ticker, to the | | | @ID= <tradeid></tradeid> |
| | | part 43 message as received by the | | | @Src= <assigning entity=""></assigning> |
| | | SDR and viewable in the SDR portal. | | | @Typ=0 (current) or 1 (previous) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Not applicable |
| J. I | Periodic Reportir | ng | | | |
| (b) | Next Reset Date | | | | |
| 65 | Next Reset Date | The next date on which a floating | A valid date | Format: YYYY-MM- | All messages: |
| | | reference becomes known for a | | DD | Instrmt/Strm/CalcDts/ |
| | | swap. | | Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | @FreqPeriod |
| | | | | | @FreqUnit |
| | | | | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/ResetDts/ |
| | | | | | @Reltv |
| | | | | | @FreqPeriod |
| | | | | | @FreqUnit |
| | | | | | However reporting the next specific next Reset date |
| | | | | | is a |
| | | | | | [GAP]. |
| | | | | | [UAF]. |
| J. I | Periodic Reportir | ng | | | |
| (c) | Valuation | | | | |
| 66 | Leg NPV | The net present value of a cash-flow | Numeric value. | 5 digit decimal | All messages: |
| | | stream as calculated by the | May be | precision | Amt/ |
| | | counterparties from the perspective | negative. | | @Typ=NPV (Net present value) |
| | | of the reporting counterparty. | | | @Amt= <npv></npv> |
| | | | | | @StrmDesc= <stream id=""></stream> |
| | | | | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | | | Note: The <amt @strmdesc=""></amt> attribute quotes the |
| | | | | | <instrmt @desc="" strm=""></instrmt> attribute identifying that |
| | | | | | amount as applicable to that swap stream. |
| 67 | | The currency the Leg NPV is reported | | Format: 3-character | All messages: |
| | Currency | in. | • | alphabetical Standard: | Amt/ |
| | | | code | ISO 4217 currency code | @Typ=NPV (Net present value) @Ccy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | | | | | @StrmDesc= <stream id=""></stream> |
| | | | | | @Stringest=\stream id> |
| | | | | | Note: See above. |
| 68 | Valuation | Datetime of the last valuation. | A valid date- | Format: YYYY-MM- | TrdCaptRpt: |
| | Datetime | | timestamp | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: | TrdRegTS/ |
| | | | | ISO 8601/ UTC | @TS= <utc timestamp=""></utc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=25 (Post-trade valuation) |
| | | | | | PocPnt. |
| | | | | | PosRpt: @ValDt |
| | | | | | @ValTm |
| | | | | | e varrii |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Not applicable |
| 69 | Valuation | Numeric portion of the value of a | Numeric value. | 5 digit decimal | All messages: |
| | Amount | | May be | precision | Amt/ |
| | | reporting counterparty. | negative | | @Typ=FMTM (Final mark-to-market) or MTD |
| | | | | | (Mark-to-model) |
| | | | | | @Amt= <amount></amount> |
| | | | | | Absence of @StrmDesc means that the valuation |
| | | | | | applies to the contract as a whole. |
| 70 | Valuation | Currency associated with the | Valid ISO 4217 | 3-character | All messages: |
| | Currency | valuation | currency code | alphabetical Standard: | Amt/ |
| | | amount. | | ISO 4217 currency code | @Typ= FMTM (Final mark-to-market) or MTD |
| | | | | | (Mark-to-model) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | | @Ccy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Absence of @StrmDesc means that the valuation |
| | | | | | applies to the contract as a whole. |
| 71 | Valuation Type | Indication of whether valuation is | M | Char(1) | All messages: |
| | | mark | О | | Amt/ |
| | | to market or mark to model. | | | @Typ= FMTM (Final mark-to-market) or MTD |
| | | | | | (Mark-to-model) |
| | | M = Mark to Market O = Mark to | | | |
| | | Model | | | |

J. Periodic Reporting

(d) Collateral/Margin

| 72 | Close Out | Currency associated with: | Valid ISO 4217 | Format: 3-character | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: |
|----|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|
| | Netting Set Portfolio | | currency code | alphabetical Standard: | Not applicable |
| | and Collateral | - Close Out Netting Set Portfolio Net | | ISO 4217 currency code | |
| | Valuation Currency | Mark To Market Valuation | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | - Close Out Netting Set Independent | | | [GAP] Value to be supported under |
| | | Amount/Initial Margin requirement | | | CollAmt/ @Ccy with a new value for CollAmt/ |
| | | - Close Out Netting Set Variation | | | @AmtTyp |
| | | margin requirement | | | |
| | | - Close Out Netting Set Collateral | | | |
| | | Posted Valuation | | | |
| 73 | Close Out | Sum of all independent amount | Numeric value of | 5 digit decimal | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Netting Set | and/or | zero or | precision | Not applicable |
| | Independent | initial margin requirements to be | greater. | | |
| | Amount/Initial | posted by each CP in the close out | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | Margin requirement | netting set. Value reported here is | | | [GAP] Value to be supported under |
| | | not the actual value of Independent | | | CollAmt/ @Amt with a new value for CollAmt/ |
| | | Amounts (IA) and/or Initial Margin | | | @AmtTyp |
| | | (IM) posted; | | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | instead this is the sum contractual IA/IM requirements from each counterparty (CP) for the close out netting set. | | | |
| 74 | Netting Set Variation | Variation margin requirement. Value reported here is not the actual | Numeric value of zero or greater. | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: [GAP] Value to be supported under MgnAmt/ @Amt with a new value for MgnAmt/ @Typ |
| 75 | Netting Set ID (unique) | Unique ID agreed to by both counterparties identifying a portfolio of transactions that are netted for close out/early termination purposes. | • | Varchar(50) | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: [GAP] Value to be supported under CollAmt/ @PrtflioID with a new value for CollAmt/ @AmtTyp |
| | Netting Set Collateral Posted Valuation | The total value of all collateral posted by either CP to the other (collateral posted by each CP reported separately) in a single valuation currency after the effects of applying any Valuation Percentage or haircut to the collateral. | | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: CollAmt/ @Amt= <valuation> @AmtTyp=3 (Credit value of collateral)</valuation> |
| 77 | Netting Set Portfolio Net Mark To Market | Close out netting set/portfolio level (not trade by trade) fair values reported from the Reporting Counterparty's | Numeric value. Can be negative. | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | point of view. Positive value = Reporting Counterparty asset = other counterparty liability. Negative value = Reporting Counterparty liability = other counterparty asset. Portfolio values should be reported using the relevant "fair value" accounting standard applicable to the reporting party. | | | CollAmt/ @Amt=< valuation> @AmtTyp=0 (Market valuation) |
| 78 | Netting Set Collateral Weighted Average | Weighted average Valuation | Numeric value of zero or greater. | 5 digit decimal precision | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: [GAP] Value to be supported within the CollAmt element with new attribute for WAVP. |
| 79 | Netting Set Collateral | For each CP, date and time when the collateral posted into a close out netting set is valued. | timestamp | Format: YYYY-MM- DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: [GAP] Value to be supported within the CollAmt element with new attribute for timestamp along with @AmtTyp=3 (Credit value of collateral) |
| 80 | Netting Set Portfolio | Date and time when a close out netting set portfolio is valued. | • | Format: YYYY-MM- DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | TrdCaprtRpt & PosRpt: Not applicable AcctSumRpt: [GAP] Value to be supported within the CollAmt element with new attribute for timestamp along with @AmtTyp=0 (Market valuation) |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|------------|--------------|---|--|-----------------|---|
| <i>K</i> . | Events | | | | |
| 81 | Event ID | This is an ID for an event that takes place between the parties that changes the terms of the contract. | Must be a value that will uniquely identify the event | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: RegTrdID/ @ID= <tradeid> @Src=<assigning entity=""> @Event=<event> @Typ=<current, block,="" etc.="" previous,=""> AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</current,></event></assigning></tradeid> |
| 82 | Event Type | Type of event resulting in the creation, termination, or combination of the two, of one or more USIs. | TRADE TRADE FORCE NOVATION 3_WAY NOVATION 4_WAY NOVATION STEP_I N NOVATION STEP_OUT NOVATION ALLOC ATION COMPRESSION BIL AT_NE TTING COMPRESSION MUL TI_NE TTING COMPRESSION BIL AT_BL ENDING COMPRESSION MUL TI_BL ENDING TERMINATION TERMINATION D CLEARING AGENCY | | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: [GAP] New "event type" attribute within the RegTrdID element would be needed. AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | CLEARING PRINCIP | | |
| | | | AL | | |
| | | | OPTION EXERCISE | | |
| | | | OPTION ASSIGNM | | |
| | | | ENT | | |
| | | | TRANSFORMATION | | |
| | | | FRAG MENT | | |
| | | | TRANSFORMATION | | |
| | | | COMB INE | | |
| | | | END_OF_LIFE MAT | | |
| | | | URITY | | |
| | | | END_OF_LIFE OPTI | | |
| | | | ON_EX PIRATION | | |
| | | | MODIFICATION IN | | |
| | | | CREAS E | | |
| | | | MODIFICATION BA | | |
| | | | SKET_ CHANGE | | |
| | | | MODIFICATION RE | | |
| | | | FEREN | | |
| | | | CE_CHANGE | | |
| | | | MODIFICATION A | | |
| | | | MENDM | | |
| | | | ENT_OTHER | | |
| | | | ERROR CORRECTIO | | |
| | | | N_EVE NT | | |
| | | | ERROR CANCEL_EV | | |
| | | | ENT | | |
| | | | CREDIT SUCCESSIO | | |
| | | | N | | |
| | | | CREDIT SPIN_OFF | | |
| | | | CREDIT AUCTION | | |
| | | | CREDIT CASH_SETT | | |
| | | | LEME NT | | |
| 83 | Event | The time stamp of the beginning of | A valid date- | Format: YYYY-MM- | TrdCaptRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|----------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| | DateTimestamp | the | timestamp | DDThh:mm:ssZ Standard: | TrdRegTS/ |
| | | event determined by the parties. | | ISO 8601/ UTC | @TS= <utc timestamp=""></utc> |
| | | | | | @Typ=24 (Post-trade continuation event) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt: |
| | | | | | @ValDt |
| | | | | | @ValTm |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Not applicable |
| 84 | Event USI | This is the serial tracker for record | | Integer | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Version | keeping of a unique event-USI pair. | than zero | | [GAP] |
| | | The version should only increment | | | A cotCurre Durts |
| | | up for the modification that affects | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | an event USI pair. It will help maintain the correct order. | | | Not applicable |
| 0.5 | Managara Turan | | NIEVA | Varchar | TudCoutDut |
| 85 | Message Type | This describes how the message affects the data relating to the | NEW UPDATE MODIFY | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt @TransTyp |
| | | event/USI pair. | CORRECT | | 0 = New |
| | | NEW = The first message relating to | CANCEL SNAPSHOT | | 1 = Cancel |
| | | an event/USI pair. Any message that | CANCLE SNAFSHOT | | 2 = Replace (i.e. correct) |
| | | contains NEW on an existing | | | @RegRptTyp |
| | | event/USI pair should fail validation. | | | 2 = Snapshot |
| | | pan enedia ian ianaation | | | Update & Modify are [GAP]s. |
| | | UPDATE = Provides additional values | | | , |
| | | that have not been provided in prior | | | PosRpt: |
| | | message traffic. These are values that | | | @Actn |
| | | may not have been needed at the | | | 1 = New |
| | | initiation of the event but become | | | 2 = Replace (i.e. correct) |
| | | known as the event matures. One | | | 3 = Cancel |
| | | example would be the price of a | | | 4 = Reverse |
| | | transaction that was executed at a | | | Update, Modify & Snapshot are [GAP]s. |
| | | yet to be determined VWAP. | | | |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | MODIFY = Changes values provided in prior message traffic due to negotiation. May also provide values not included in prior messages. Cannot be combined with a CORRECT message. | | | Not applicable |
| | | CORRECT = Change values provided in prior message traffic due to error. May also provide values not included in prior messages. Cannot be combined with a MODIFY message. | | | |
| | | CANCEL = Cancels the event/USI pair. This would be assumed to nullify the effect of all prior message versions relating to the event/USI pair. | | | |
| | | SNAPSHOT = Provides message of positions currently known by a reporting party but not relating to a specific event. This message type would include the data elements for Reconciliation, Valuation, and Collateral/Exposure. | | | |
| | Price forming Event | As reported by the counterparties whether the event has any price discovery significance. | N | | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: @TrdContntn However there is no specific indicator that the event being reported is price forming — [GAP]. AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 87 | Transferee | The counterparty stepping into the | Only current and | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|---------------|---|--|-----------------|---|
| | | swap. | valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | | RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=40 (Transfer to Firm) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</party> |
| 88 | Transferor | The counterparty stepping out of the | Only current and | ISO 17442 | [GAP] |
| | | swap. | valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | 130 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) Sub/ @ID=Y @Typ=<tbd> (Transferor) PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable</tbd></party> |
| 89 | USI Impact | This data element describes the effect an Event has on the USI. | Create Retire None | | [GAP] |
| 90 | USI Version | Counter that identifies the number of | | Integer | [GAP] |
| 91 | USI Namespace | Refer to: | Refer to: | Refer to: | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|------|-----------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | http://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/ | http://www.cftc.go | http://www.cftc.gov/ | RegTrdID/ |
| | | <u>Do</u> | v/LawRegu | LawRegulation/Dodd | @Src= <assigning entity=""></assigning> |
| | | ddFrankAct/Rulemakings/DF_17_Recor | lation/DoddFrankA | FrankAct/Rulemakin | |
| | | dkeeping/usidatastandards100112 | <u>ct/Rulema</u> | gs/DF 17 Recordkee | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | kings/DF 17 Recor | ping/usidatastandards | Not applicable |
| | | | dkeeping/ | <u>100112</u> | |
| | | | usidatastandards10 | | |
| | | | <u>0112</u> | | |
| 92 | USI Transaction | Refer to: | Refer to: | Refer to: | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | ID | http://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/ | _ | | RegTrdID/ |
| | | <u>Do</u> | | LawRegulation/Dodd | @ID= <tradeid></tradeid> |
| | | ddFrankAct/Rulemakings/DF 17 Recor | | | |
| | | dkeeping/usidatastandards100112 | | gs/DF 17 Recordkee | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | ping/usidatastandards | Not applicable |
| | | | dkeeping/ | <u>100112</u> | |
| | | | <u>usidatastandards10</u> | | |
| | | | <u>0112</u> | | |
| | | | | | |
| L. 1 | Rates | | | | |
| 93 | Fixed Rate | Fixed interest rate value. | Numeric value | 5 digit decimal | All messages: |
| | | | | precision | In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where |
| | | | | Value can be positive or | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Fixed exists: |
| | | | | negative | |
| | | | | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Fixed/ |
| | | | | 1% = 0.01000 | @Rt= <rate></rate> |
| 94 | Floating Rate | Alphanumeric name of the reference | Valid index | Varchar | All messages: |
| | Index | index for the floating interest leg of a | identifier Should | | In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where |
| | | contract. | be defined in ISDA | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: |
| | | | 2006 Definitions | | |
| | | | section 7.1 or be | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float/ |
| | | | the identifier used | | @Ndx= <index></index> |
| | | | by the | | |
| | | | administrator for | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|----|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | that index | | |
| 95 | Floating Rate Reset Frequency Period | A time period (e.g., a day, week, or month) that together with the Floating Rate Reset Frequency Period Multiplier define the frequency of floating rate leg resets D = Day W = Week M = Month Y = Year T = Term | D W M Y T | Char(1) | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/ResetDts/ @FreqUnit= <unit> @FreqPeriod=<mult></mult></unit> |
| 96 | Payment Frequency Period | A time period (e.g., a day, week, or month) that together with the Payment Period Frequency Multiplier define the frequency of payments per leg. D = day W = week M = month Y = year T = term | D W M Y T | Varchar | All messages: In each instance of Instrmt/Strm: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/PmtDts/PmtDt/ @FreqPeriod= <multiplier> @FreqUnit=<unit> or @Reltv @OfstPeriod @OfstUnit @OfstDayTyp</unit></multiplier> |
| 97 | Leg Spread | legs, as fixed rate plus spread should | negative | Format: 5 digit decimal precision Note: Floating point decimal representation of percentage Example: 1 basis point will be represented as 0.00010 | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float/ @Spread= <spread></spread> |
| 98 | Leg Multiplier/Lever age | ' | Numeric value greater than | Format: 5 digit decimal precision | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|---|---|--|-----------------|--|
| | Factor | performed. This is the last step before the final leg cash flow is determined. | zero For trades with no embedded cash flow leverage, the reported entry will be 1 | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float <i>exists</i> : Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float/ @RtMult=< multiplier> |
| | Payment Frequency Period Multiplier | An integer multiplier of a time period describing how often the parties to the publicly reportable swap transaction exchange payments associated with each party's obligation under the publicly reportable swap transaction. Such payment frequency may be described as one letter preceded by an integer. | An integer greater than zero. | Integer | All messages: In each instance of Instrmt/Strm: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/PmtDts/PmtDt/ @FreqPeriod= <multiplier> @FreqUnit=<unit> or @Reltv @OfstPeriod @OfstUnit</unit></multiplier> |
| 100 | Floating Rate Reset Frequency Period Multiplier | An integer multiplier of a time period describing how often the frequency of floating rate leg resets based on the rate of its index. | An integer greater than zero. | Integer | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/ResetDts/ @FreqUnit= <unit> @FreqPeriod=<multiplier></multiplier></unit> |
| 101 | Floating Rate Index Tenor Period | | D W M Y T | Char(1) | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float/ @NdxUnit= <unit> @NdxPeriod=<multiplier></multiplier></unit> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Floating Rate Index Tenor Period | | An integer greater than zero. | Integer | All messages: In the instance of Instrmt/Strm where |
| | Multiplier | | | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float exists: |
| | | | | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/Float/ |
| | | | | | @NdxUnit= <unit></unit> |
| 102 | Day Count | The day count convention is a | 1/1 | Varchar | @NdxPeriod= <multiplier> All messages:</multiplier> |
| | Convention | • | 30/360 | Valcitat | In each instance of Instrmt/Strm: |
| | Convention | over time and is a material term that | | | All are supported except ACT/ACT.ISMA [GAP] |
| | | is necessary for pricing certain swaps. | • | | , |
| | | Common day count convention | 30E/360.ISDA | | Instrmt/Strm/PmtStrm/ |
| | | methods include the 30/360 method | - | | @DayCnt= <enum></enum> |
| | | | ACT/365.FIXED | | |
| | | | ACT/365L | | |
| | | | ACT/ACT.AFB ACT/ACT.ICMA | | |
| | | | ACT/ACT.ISDA | | |
| | | | ACT/ACT.ISMA | | |
| | | | BUS/252 | | |
| | Foreign Exchan | <u>-</u> | N | le dista de circol | Taylow 40 v4 |
| 104 | Exchange Rate | , 5 | Numeric value greater than | 5 digit decimal precision | <pre>TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/ @SettlCurrFxRt=<rate></rate></pre> |
| | | | zero. | precision | hptside/ @setticultrxht=\late> |
| | | number of currency units of the | 2010. | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | denominator currency that is | | | [GAP] |
| | | equivalent | | | |
| | | to 1 unit of the numerator currency. | | | |
| | Exchange Rate | The currency exchange rate basis that | | Format: Two 3- | All messages: |
| | Basis | , | currency code | character alphabetical | Instrmt/ |
| | | data element. It is shown in the | | separated by "/" Note: | @Sym= <ccy ccy=""></ccy> |
| | | format "xxx/yyy" where "xxx" is the | | "xxx/yyy" where "xxx" is | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|--------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | numerator currency and "yyy" is the | | the | |
| | | denominator | | numerator currency and | |
| | | currency. | | "yyy" is the denominator | |
| | | | | currency. | |
| | | | | Standard: ISO 4217 | |
| | | | | currency code | |
| 106 | Fixing Date | The date the rate used to calculate | Valid date | Format: YYYY-MM- | All messages: |
| | | the | | DD | Instrmt/ |
| | | settlement amount is determined. | | Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | @MatDt= <date></date> |
| 107 | Settlement | The currency, if any, specified as such | Valid ISO 4217 | Format: 3-character | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Currency | in | currency code | alphabetical Standard: | @SettlCcy= <ccy></ccy> |
| | | the related Confirmation, and, if no | | ISO 4217 currency code | |
| | | currency is specified: (i) if the | | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | Underlying Transaction or the | | | [GAP] |
| | | Transaction, as appropriate, involves | | | Clarification is needed whether this is needed in the |
| | | one currency, that currency; or (ii) if | | | AcctSumRpt message. |
| | | the Underlying Transaction or the | | | |
| | | Transaction, as appropriate, involves | | | |
| | | more than one currency, the | | | |
| | | Termination Currency, if any, | | | |
| | | referred to in the related | | | |
| | | Confirmation and otherwise the | | | |
| | | currency in which Fixed Amount(s) | | | |
| | | under the Underlying Transaction or | | | |
| | | the Transaction, as appropriate, are | | | |
| | | payable. | | | |
| 108 | Date of | The periodic or final payment dates | Valid date | Format: YYYY-MM- | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | Settlement | when pre-determined amounts in the | | DD | @SettlDt= <date></date> |
| | | Settlement Currency are paid or | | Standard: ISO 8601/ UTC | |
| | | received so as to settle the | | | ActSumRpt: |
| | | outstanding payment. | | | [GAP] |
| | | | | | Clarification is needed whether this is needed in the |
| | | | | | AcctSumRpt message. |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|---|--|--|-----------------|---|
| 109 | Delivery Type | How the swap is settled | C P E A N | | All messages: Instrmt/ @SettlMeth= <method> C = Cash settlement required P = Physical settlement required E = Election at settlement Auction is a [GAP] "Non-deliverable" is communicated as Instrmt/ @SecTyp=FXNDF with the deliverable currency given in @SettlCcy.</method> |
| N. | Other Data Eler | ments | , | | , , , |
| 110 | Execution Venue ID | Unique code identifier of a Swap Execution Facility (SEF) or a Designated Contract Market (DCM) of which the swap was executed. | Only current and valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | ISO 17442 | All messages: Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=73 (Execution venue)</party> |
| 111 | Trade Execution Requirement Indicator | The data element will indicate if the swap is subject to the trade execution requirement under CEA section 2(h)(8). If the swap is part of a package, then this element will capture each component. | Y N | Char(1) | TrdCaptRpt: @RegTxnTyp 0 = None 1 = SEF-required transaction 2 = SEF-permitted transaction PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: Not applicable |
| 112 | Leg Receiver | Unique LEI to define who will receive the type as specified in 'Leg Type'. | Only current and valid Legal Entity Identifiers ("LEIs") | ISO 17442 | TrdCaptRpt: RptSide/Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13</party> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|--------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | @ID= <stream desc=""></stream> |
| | | | | | @Typ=75 (Receiver) |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | PosRpt & AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | | | Pty/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <stream desc=""></stream> |
| | | | | | @Typ=75 (Receiver) |
| 113 | Leg Type | The data element for Leg Type will | Fixed | Varchar | TrdCaptRpt & PosRpt: |
| | | | Float | | Pmt/ |
| | | given stream is being traded and | Option - Put | | @Type= <type></type> |
| | | what each ID receives. | Option - Call | | @Desc= <pmt desc=""></pmt> |
| | | | Additional Fixed | | or |
| | | | Payment Other | | Instrmt/Strm/ |
| | | | CDS Protection | | @Desc= <stream desc=""></stream> |
| | | | Buyer | | |
| | | | CDS Protection | | AcctSumRpt: |
| | | | Seller | | [GAP: message does not include <pmt>]</pmt> |
| | | | Initial Payment | | |
| 111 | Las Davies | | Amount | ISO 17442 | TodContOnt |
| 114 | • , | Unique LEI to define who will pay the type as specified in 'Leg Type'. | valid Legal Entity | | <i>TrdCaptRpt</i> RptSide/Pty/ |
| | | lype as specified in Leg Type. | Identifiers ("LEIs") | | @ID= <party id=""></party> |
| | | | identifiers (LEIS) | | @Src=N (LEI) |
| | | | | | @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 |
| | | | | | (Order origination firm) |
| | | | | | Sub/ |
| | | | | | @ID= <stream desc=""></stream> |
| | | | | | @Typ=74 (Payer) |
| | | | | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | PosRpt: Pty/ @ID= <party id=""> @Src=N (LEI) @R=7 (Entering firm) or 1 (Executing firm) or 13 (Order origination firm) or 3 (Client ID) Sub/ @ID=<stream desc=""> @Typ=74 (Payer)</stream></party> |
| 115 | Effective Date | The date that the transaction becomes effective. This should be the same as the "Effective or Start date data element in Table A1 of appendix A to part 43 ("[t]he date that the publicly reportable swap transaction becomes effective or starts[]") and the "Start Date" data category and data element in Exhibit A to appendix 1 to part 45 ("[t]he date on which the swap starts or goes into effect[.]" | | Format: YYYY-MM- DD Standard: ISO 8601 UTC | All messages: @SettIDt= <date> or Instrmt/Strm/EfctvDt @Dt=<date></date></date> |
| 116 | Scheduled Termination Date | The final contractual scheduled termination date of the swap. This should be the same as what part 45 describes as "Maturity, termination or end date: The date on which the swap expires". Interest rate swaps use the term Termination Date and credit default swaps use Scheduled Termination Date. It was determined that the term "Scheduled Termination Date" best clarified that it is the contractual termination date, and not the actual termination | | Format: YYYY-MM- DD Standard: ISO 8601 UTC | All messages: [GAP]Instrmt/Strm/TrmtnDt @Dt= <date></date> |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | date which could occur due to an | | | |
| | | early optional termination. | | | |
| 117 | Business day | "Business Day Convention" means | FOLLOWING | Varchar | All messages: |
| | convention | the | FRN | | Instrmt/DtAdjmt/ |
| | | convention for adjusting any relevant | MODFOLLOWING | | @BizDayCnvtn= <value></value> |
| | | date if it would otherwise fall on a | PRECEDING | | |
| | | day that is not a Business Day. The | MODPRECEDING | | |
| | | following terms, when used in | NEAREST | | |
| | | conjunction with the term "Business | NONE | | |
| | | Day Convention" and a date, shall | | | |
| | | mean that an adjustment will be | | | |
| | | made if that date would otherwise | | | |
| | | fall on a day that is not a Business | | | |
| | | Day so that: (i) if "Following" is | | | |
| | | specified, that date will be the first | | | |
| | | following day that is a Business Day; | | | |
| | | (ii) if "Modified Following" or | | | |
| | | "Modified" is specified, that date will | | | |
| | | be the first following day that is a | | | |
| | | Business Day unless that day falls in | | | |
| | | the next calendar month, in which | | | |
| | | case that date will be the first | | | |
| | | preceding day that is a Business Day; | | | |
| | | and (iii) if "Preceding" is specified, | | | |
| | | that date will be the first preceding | | | |
| | | day that is a Business Day. | | | |
| 118 | Holiday calendar | Calendar of holidays and official days- | 4 letter value from | Char(4) | All messages: |
| | | off observed by the financial center | the FpML | | Instrmt/DtAdjmt/ |
| | | specified. | businessCenterSch | | BizCtr/ @Ctr= <ctr> [multiple]</ctr> |
| | | | eme codelist. | | |
| 119 | Fixed Recovery | As per ISDA Credit Derivatives | Numeric value | 5 digit decimal | All messages: |
| | CDS Final Price | definitions, if "Cash Settlement" is | _ | precision 1% = | Instrmt/CashSettlTrm/ |
| | | specified as the Settlement Method | | 0.01000 | @RcvryFctr= <value></value> |
| | | of the CDS then the "Cash | | | |



| # | Data Element | Description | Allowable Values | Format/Standard | FIXML Mapping & Comments |
|-----|-----------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Settlement Amount" upon a Credit | | | |
| | | Event will be the notional amount | | | |
| | | multiplied by the Reference Price | | | |
| | | minus the Final Price. Furthermore, | | | |
| | | based upon the "Additional | | | |
| | | Provisions for Fixed Recovery CDS | | | |
| | | Transactions" in the case of Fixed | | | |
| | | Recovery CDS', the "Final Price" shall | | | |
| | | mean the percentage specified in the | | | |
| | | Confirmation. Therefore we are | | | |
| | | capturing this fixed percentage in this | | | |
| | | data element. | | | |
| 120 | Reference price | As per ISDA Credit Derivatives | Percentage value | Format: 5 digit | All messages: |
| | | definitions, the initial reference price | | decimal precision Note: | [GAP] |
| | | established at the time of trade to be | | Floating point decimal | |
| | | used in the case of a realized credit | | representation of | |
| | | event. The percentage specified as | | percentage | |
| | | such in the related Confirmation | | | |
| | | (100% if set at par. or, If no such | | Example: | |
| | | percentage is specified, one hundred | | 1% should be | |
| | | per cent is assumed). | | represented as 0.01000 | |