

November 26, 2014

Via Agency Web Site

Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission Attn: Comments/RIN 3038–AC97 Commodity Futures Trading Commission Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street NW Washington, DC 20581

Re: Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants

Dear Mr. Kirkpatrick:

This comment letter is submitted on behalf of Instituto de Crédito Oficial ("ICO") in response to the October 3, 2014 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 79 Fed. Reg. 59898 (October 3, 2014) (the "Proposed Rule"), issued by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") and promulgated pursuant to Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank"). We appreciate the opportunity to comment, and commend the CFTC for their thorough and thoughtful development of the Proposed Rule.

I. Summary

As detailed further below, ICO is organized under public law of the Kingdom of Spain ("Spain") and the debts and obligations incurred by ICO are backed by the "explicit, irrevocable, unconditional and direct" guarantee of Spain. Further, ICO is a public sector entity engaged in various financing and lending activities, and currently uses Swaps, as such term is defined in Dodd-Frank, primarily (although not exclusively) for the purpose of hedging its investments, loans and borrowings. ICO executes such Swaps either with non-U.S. counterparties or with U.S. entities that are registered swap dealers, major swap participants, security-based swap dealers, and major security-based swap participants subject to oversight and regulation by the CFTC ("Swap Entities"). It is our view, for the reasons described below, that the execution of Swaps by ICO does not pose the same types of systemic risk concerns which can be associated with uncleared Swap transactions. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the CFTC clarify in

A small percentage of ICO's swap transactions might not qualify as hedging transactions. However, currently the overwhelming majority of its transactions are for hedging purposes and its obligations under all transactions are in any event fully guaranteed by the Spanish government.



-2-

the final rule that a sovereign public-sector entity, such as ICO, that is backed by the explicit and irrevocable guarantee of a sovereign government, is either (i) considered to be within the definition of a "sovereign entity" and not subject to the margin rules otherwise applicable to Swaps not cleared by a registered derivatives clearing organization; or (ii) otherwise excluded from the definition of "financial end user" and not required to post or collect margin.²

II. ICO's Status as a Public Sector Entity Serving a Public Purpose

Pursuant to the applicable legislation and ICO's bylaws, ICO is generally subject to provisions of Spanish law relating to credit institutions. The strategic management of ICO, as well as the assessment and control of the results of its activities, are overseen by the Secretariat of State for Economy, and it is subject to the control of the Spanish Office of the Comptroller ("Intervención General") of the State Administration and of the Court of Exchequer ("Tribunal de Cuentas"). ICO is further overseen by Spain's Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness. In addition to its legal status as a credit institution, ICO also functions as a "state financial agency" with its own legal status, assets, treasury and independent management. Moreover, as a credit institution, ICO is also supervised by the Bank of Spain. ICO raises funds through capital markets, and the debts and other liabilities it incurs are backed by the guarantee of the Spanish government. In addition, ICO's bylaws state that debts incurred by ICO when raising funds, performed outside the national territory and for non-residents, will be subject to the same fiscal regime as sovereign Spanish debt.

Spanish debt.

Pursuant to the application of ICO, as bylaws, ICO is generally subject to the same fiscal regime as sovereign Spanish debt.

Pursuant to the application of ICO, as bylaws, ICO, as bylaws, ICO is generally subject to the same fiscal regime as sovereign Spanish debt.

Pursuant to the application of ICO, as bylaws, ICO, as b

The public mandate of ICO is to support and promote economic activities that contribute to growth and improvement as well as the distribution of national wealth. In its role as a credit institution, ICO acts both as a direct lender for large public and private investment projects



We note that as the margin regulation proposals are the same with regard to this issue in both the CFTC proposed rule and the rule proposal of the or the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Farm Credit Administration and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (collectively, the "Prudential Regulators") (Margin Requirements for Uncleared Swaps for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants, 79 Fed. Reg. 57348 (September 24, 2014)), ICO intends to submit this comment in response to both proposals for consideration.

See Article 1 of ICO's bylaws, approved by Royal Decree 706/1999, of 30 april, adapting Instituto de Crédito Oficial to Act 6/1997, of 14 April, on Organisation and Operation of the State General Administration, and approving its Bylaws.

According to the article 24.2 of ICO's bylaws, debts and obligations that may be incurred by ICO when raising funds will benefit, as it concerns third parties, from the guarantee of the Spanish government. Such guarantee is explicit, irrevocable, unconditional and direct. *See also* article 24.6 of ICO's bylaws.



-3-

by Spanish companies acting within Spain or in other countries, as well as a financing entity for small and medium sized companies and self-employed workers, through loans granted by private lenders (consisting of Spanish banks), in particular, financing activities that, due to their focus on social, cultural, environmental or innovative aspects of Spanish society, warrant support. In its role as a state financial agency, ICO provides financing in certain stress scenarios, such as following a natural disaster or economic crisis, at the direction of the Spanish government.⁵

III. Use of Swaps by ICO

In connection with the activities described above, ICO currently utilizes swaps primarily for hedging purposes. Specifically, ICO manages interest rate and currency risk exposures created through its financing and lending activities by entering into interest rate and currency swaps with a variety of major financial institutions, including U.S. entities that are registered or will be required to register as Swap Entities and which are subject to the regulations and oversight of the CFTC. ICO does not currently engage in dealing with U.S. counterparties that are not registered Swap Entities subject to supervision by U.S. government entities (i.e. the Prudential Regulators, the CFTC or the Securities and Exchange Commission).

IV. Exemption from Margin Requirements for Entities Such as ICO

The Dodd-Frank Act required that the regulations adopted by the CFTC address the risk caused by uncleared Swaps be "appropriate" for the actual risk posed, and the CFTC has recognized in the Proposed Rule that "sovereign entities" are appropriately categorized as excluded from the definition of financial end users and excluded from the margin requirements otherwise applicable to transactions between Swap Entities and other Swap Entities or financial end users. In addition, certain other parties are also excluded from the definition of "financial end user" and, accordingly, from the margin requirements of the rules. These excluded parties include: multilateral development banks; the Bank for International Settlements; captive finance companies that qualify for the exemption from clearing under section 2(h)(7)(C)(iii) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") and implementing regulations; or persons that qualify for the affiliate exemption from clearing pursuant to section 2(h)(7)(D) of the CEA.



According to its bylaws, a function of ICO is to ameliorate the negative economic effects of situations of severe economic crisis, natural catastrophes or other similar events and to take action pursuant to instructions from the Council of Ministers or the Government's Delegate Commission for Economic Affairs. Further, ICO is tasked to act as the instrument for the implementation of certain economic policy measures, following the fundamental guidelines established by the Council of Ministers, the Government's Delegate Commission for Economic Affairs or the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, and subject to the rules and decisions approved thereto by ICO's General Board. However, according to article 2 of ICO's bylaws, it shall act with full respect towards the principles of financial equilibrium and adaptation of means to purposes.



-4-

A "sovereign entity" is defined in the Proposed Rule as "a central government (including the U.S. government) or an agency, department, ministry, or central bank of a central government." As noted in the Proposed Rule, this exclusion for sovereigns is consistent with the 2013 international framework for margin requirements finalized in September 2013 by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("BCBS") and the Board of the International Organization of Securities Commissions ("IOSCO"), ⁶ and with the margin rule proposal of the Prudential Regulators. Notably, the Prudential Regulator's proposed rule states that the exclusion of these types of entities "is consistent with the statute, which requires the margin requirements to be risk-based, and is appropriate in light of the lower risks that these types of counterparties generally pose to the safety and soundness of covered swap entities and U.S. financial stability."

As noted above, in carrying out its mandates to sustain and promote economic activities that contribute to growth and to distribute national wealth, ICO currently engages in interest rate and currency swap transactions primarily for risk mitigation and hedging purposes. Absent clarification from the CFTC in the final rule related to the margin regulations as to the status of entities such as ICO as either a "sovereign entity" or as otherwise not a "financial end user," ICO could be required to post and collect margin in connection with its uncleared Swaps transactions if its counterparties are registered Swap Entities under the supervision of a Prudential Regulator or the CFTC.

We do not believe that this result is appropriate or necessary. First, as noted above, ICO currently enters swaps for hedging purposes, rather than speculative purposes. In addition, the obligations of ICO are backed by the full guarantee of the Spanish government, such that any amounts that are owed and unpaid by ICO on its Swap positions would be covered entirely by the Spanish government. This "explicit, irrevocable, unconditional and direct" guarantee of Spain means that Swaps entered into by ICO do not pose the same systemic risk concerns as other entities subject to margin requirements. Furthermore, if ICO were to become subject to the margin requirements described herein, it would introduce significant burdensome costs and operational inefficiencies and would likely deter ICO from entering into transactions with U.S. counterparties,



BCBS and IOSCO, Margin Requirements for Non-Centrally Cleared Derivatives (Sept. 2013), available at http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD423.pdf. The international framework notes that "the BCBS and IOSCO believe that the margin requirements need not apply to non-centrally cleared derivatives to which non-financial entities that are not systemically important are a party, given that (i) such transactions are viewed as posing little or no systemic risk and (ii) such transactions are exempted from central clearing mandates under most national regimes. Similarly, the BCBS and IOSCO advocate that margin requirements are not applied in such a way that would require sovereigns, central banks, multilateral development banks (MDBs) or the Bank for International Settlements to either collect or post margin. Both of these views are reflected in the exclusion of such transactions from the scope of margin requirements."



-5-

while not decreasing systemic risk or protecting market participants. For these reasons, ICO should not be subject to the margin requirements. In addition, the final margin rules should make clear that ICO's swap counterparties are not subject to the margin requirements in connection with Swaps entered into with ICO. Indeed, a contrary outcome would bring about the cost and administrative burden that the Proposed Rule seeks to avoid, with no enhancement of systemic risk protections.

We note that, in the Proposed Rule, the CFTC indicates a desire to "harmonize this rulemaking with the domestic prudential regulators, as well as with foreign regulators" including to harmonize or be consistent with many aspects of the international framework for margin requirements finalized by BCBS and IOSCO. An interpretation that ICO is considered a "sovereign entity" would be consistent with that foreign regulatory framework. With regard to evaluating public sector entities ("PSEs") (such as ICO), BCBS and IOSCO noted that "[s]ubject to national discretion, PSEs may be treated as sovereigns for the purpose of determining the applicability of margin requirements" and "[i]n considering whether a PSE should be treated as a sovereign for the purpose of determining the applicability of margin requirements, national supervisors should consider the counterparty credit risk of the PSE, as reflected by, for example, whether the PSE has revenue-raising powers and the extent of guarantees provided by the central government." Pursuant to this directive, ICO should be considered a sovereign entity and should be exempted from the margin requirements.

We also note that, in related regulatory contexts, the CFTC has recognized that "foreign governments" should not be required to register as swap dealers or major swap participants and should be exempt from the swap clearing requirements set forth in Section 2(h)(1)(A) of the CEA. The CFTC further determined that, for this purpose, the term "foreign government" includes KfW, a German entity that is substantially similar to ICO. The CFTC in these contexts took into account the non-profit, public sector status of KfW, as well as its mandate to serve a public purpose and the full, explicit and statutory guarantee provided to it by the German federal government, in stating that KfW was considered a "foreign government." Moreover, the exemption from the swap clearing requirement is only available to entities that are not "financial entities;" in other words, it applies only to non-financial entity end-users. By including entities such as KfW and ICO within the exemption from the clearing requirement, therefore, the CFTC has in effect determined to treat such entities as non-financial entity end-users. The characteristics of KfW relied upon by the CFTC are substantially identical to those of ICO. Even if it is determined that ICO is not within the definition of "sovereign entity" under the Proposed Rule, we believe that ICO, and other entities that are substantially identical to KfW in the respects noted above, should similarly be considered non-financial end-users for purposes of the Proposed Rule.



⁷⁷ Fed. Reg. 30596, 30692 n.1178 (May 23, 2012); 77 Fed. Reg. 42560, 42561 n.12 (July 19, 2012).



-6-

Further, other U.S. and non-U.S. governmental entities have recognized ICO's status as a sovereign entity. In particular, as an integral part or controlled entity of the government of Spain, ICO is exempt from (i) U.S. federal income tax withholding to the extent allowed under Section 892 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and (ii) the requirements of Sections 1471-1474 of the Code ("FATCA"), as modified by the Agreement Between the United States of America and the Kingdom of Spain to Improve International Tax Compliance and To Implement FATCA (the "IGA"). The IGA explicitly exempts ICO due to its status as a "governmental entity." The European Union has also exempted ICO from the Capital Requirements Directive and from the Bail In Directive, an exemption also granted to KfW and other similar entities, and therefore (among other consequences) ICO is not subject to the recovery proceedings, resolution proceedings or any other proceedings whose effects are similar to bankruptcy stated for credit institutions which are not sovereign entities. We believe these actions support the treatment of ICO as a sovereign entity for purposes of the Proposed Rule.

Taking into consideration ICO's status as a public-sector entity, its mandate to serve a public purpose, its use of Swaps primarily for hedging and risk mitigation purposes and the full support for any losses by the explicit guarantee of the Spanish government, we believe ICO and similar entities are properly encompassed within the definition of "sovereign entities." However, this is not made express in the release and we therefore believe that a further interpretation or clarification of this issue would be helpful, and perhaps necessary. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the CFTC confirm this understanding in the final rule. In the alternative, even if the CFTC determines that such clarification is not warranted, we request that the CFTC clarify in the final rule that ICO, and entities like ICO, are explicitly excluded from the definition of "financial end user." Both of these interpretations would align with the prior understandings of the CFTC in similar contexts as well as the BCBS and IOSCO international framework and the views in other contexts of U.S. and foreign regulatory bodies, and would provide clarity that the CFTC does not believe it is an "appropriate" result to require that entities such as ICO post or collect margin on their Swap transactions, given the minimal level of systemic risk posed by ICO's involvement in such transactions. Such an exemption from margin requirements on uncleared Swaps would not be inconsistent with the principles established in Dodd-Frank guiding the CFTC's rulemaking and would allow ICO to carry out its public purpose



See IGA, available at http://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/tax-policy/treaties/Documents/FATCA-Agreement-Spain-5-14-2013.pdf

See the article 2, Subsection 1(2) of the Directive 2014/59/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 (the "Bail In Directive") in connection with the Article 2, Subsection 5 of the Directive 2013/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Counsel of 26 June 2013 (the "Capital Requirements Directive"), available at http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:176:0338:0436:EN:PDF.



-7-

mandate to sustain and promote economic activities that contribute to growth as and distribute national wealth without facing unnecessary costs and inefficiencies.

* * *

Thank you for your consideration of our comments and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned or David Gilberg of Sullivan & Cromwell LLP at (212) 558-4680 or gilbergd@sullcrom.com if you have questions or would find further background helpful.

Sincerely,

Instituto de Crédito Oficial

Name: IDOYA ARTEAGABEITIA
Title: HEAD LEGAL DEPARTMENT