

D&K  
B910



RECEIVED  
CFTC

2012 APR 17 PM 3:45

OFFICE OF THE  
SECRETARIAT

VIA U.S. MAIL

Mr. David A. Stawick  
Secretary  
Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
Three Lafayette Centre  
1155 21st Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20581

Re: Regulation 4.5 Harmonization

Dear Mr. Stawick:

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission") has requested comment on its proposed harmonization provisions. Specifically, the Commission indicated that it "is considering adopting a family office exemption from CPO registration akin to the exemption adopted by the SEC."<sup>1</sup>

We respectfully request that the CFTC adopt a single family office exemption under the definitions of Commodity Pool Operator ("CPO") and Commodity Trading Adviser ("CTA"). Further, under these two new family office exemptions, it should be clear that anyone qualifying under them would also be exempt from any notice filing, disclosure and recordkeeping requirements, including, without limitation, Rules 4.13(a)(5) and (6), 4.13(b), 4.13(c), 4.21, 4.23, 4.31 and 4.32 or any other such requirements.

With respect to the definition of family office, it should, at a minimum, be broad enough to accommodate the wide variety of structures currently employed by and clientele currently served by single family offices. Moreover, the definition of family office should include, but not be limited to, the scope and extent of prior CFTC interpretive relief provided with respect to family investment vehicles.

The adoption of a family office exemption under CPO and CTA definitions is consistent with both the CFTC's own prior interpretive relief as well as the Congressional mandate under Section 409 the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act to alleviate family offices from the regulatory burdens of investment adviser registration. We believe the adoption of a single family office exemption under the CFTC's rules governing CPO and CTA registration will appropriately harmonize the treatment of single family offices across federal financial market regulatory schemes.

<sup>1</sup> 77 FR 11348 (February 24, 2012).

Finally, we support the analysis and conclusions of other commentators on this topic, including, without limitation, the following:

- (a) Letter to David Stawick, Secretary, CFTC, dated April 12, 2011, from Mark D. Young, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP;
- (b) Letter to David Stawick, Secretary, CFTC, dated April 12, 2011 from Martin L. Lybecker, Perkins Coie LLP; and
- (c) Letter to David Stawick, Secretary, CFTC, dated April 12, 2011 from Thomas D. Balliett, Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this proposal and look forward to working with the Commission throughout the rulemaking process.

Sincerely,



Jill Koosmann  
HRK Group, Inc.  
CEO & President

4/12/11