

May 14, 2012
David A. Stawick
Secretary
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW
Washington, DC 20581

Re: RIN 3038-AD08:
Procedures to Establish Appropriate Minimum Block Sizes for Large Notional Off-Facility Swaps and Block Trades

Dear Mr. Stawick:

Arbor Research & Trading, Inc. is an institutional research and brokerage firm producing innovative research solutions across a broad range of global fixed-income, equity, currency, and commodity markets. Founded in 1988, Arbor has a long history servicing many of the largest and most influential financial institutions world wide. Arbor supports the CFTC current proposed rule that 67% of trades be included for the setting of block thresholds.

The setting of block trade thresholds is an important determinant in balancing the need between transparency and liquidity. Block thresholds that are set too high could impede liquidity while block thresholds set too low will serve to obscure price discovery and equal access.

In setting block thresholds the CFTC must recognize the specifics of each asset class and apply appropriate measures that satisfy Congressional intent while offering flexibility to adapt as market participation evolves under new regulation and new modes of execution. We believe the CFTC has achieved a proper balance in their current proposed rule and we support the finalization of the rule as written as it pertains to both Interest Rate Swaps and Credit Default Swap Index trades.

Congressional intent as it pertains to block thresholds requires that the rules cover the vast majority of swaps and that reporting data be meaningful. We agree with the CFTC interpretation that vast majority is 67% or more of trades, while 50% is not. Block thresholds that are set too low (ex. 50% of trades) would push too large a percentage of trades in to the category that would have reporting delays and shielded market data leaving the reported data of diminished value to the broader market.

The current CFTC rules would set the opening block threshold levels comfortably inside where the observed liquidity of the current interest rate and credit default swap markets stand. In interest rate swaps the dollar value change per 1 basis point movement in rates (DV01) is typically seen as the most primary measure of risk. In comparing the initial appropriate minimum block sizes for interest rate swaps in DV01 terms the 67% measure is well within observed liquidity. Applying the 50% measure moves the block threshold to observed liquidity levels that are available from a single market maker.

In addition, Arbor supports additional measures of market liquidity to be applied as the data becomes publicly available. This specifically should include measures that look beyond the volume executed and measure available liquidity by including both the depth and breadth of the available orders in the specific market.

No single measure of market activity could possibly define the total amount of potential liquidity available in an asset class. As more trading takes place on exchanges and SEFs and as more data is reported to SDRs the ability to calibrate block threshold levels will improve. The proposal to measure beyond traded volume in any swap contract or swap category by observing both market depth and market breadth is a good step toward defining available liquidity in specified markets.

We support the proposal to include multiple levels of analysis for each asset class in order for the proper balance of liquidity and transparency to be achieved. These measures can be refined over time as more market data becomes available.

In summary, the 67% rule and the Market Depth test are consistent with Congressional Intent, promotes transparency and trading of SEFS, provides better market data, and is a conservative approach given the market's size.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ed McElwreath
Arbor Research & Trading, Inc.
405 Lexington Avenue
Suite 53
New York, New York 10174

Office: 212-867-5326