



C.F.T.C.  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARIAT  
2010 NOV 22 PM 1 23

Rob Husong  
Market President  
406 Town Center  
Bella Vista, AR 72714

**COMMIT**

November 11, 2010

Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
Attention: David A. Stawick, Secretary  
Three Lafayette Centre  
115521st Street NW  
Washington, DC 20581

RE: RIN 3038-AD01

Dear Secretary Stawick,

In July President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, a comprehensive package that included significant changes to the derivatives market. In particular, Dodd-Frank moved a greater number of derivatives transactions toward exchange trading, with an additional emphasis on packaging such transactions through clearinghouses. This was intended to foster greater transparency, competition and risk management in the massive derivatives market after a period of great crisis and upheaval that threatened the nation's economy. While Dodd-Frank was very specific in many areas, it was also left to regulatory bodies such as yours to draft rules that would carry out the intent of the Congress and to flesh out details in the actual application of the law.

Now the CFTC and the SEC have proposed a rule that addresses possible conflicts of interests in clearinghouse ownership. While the intent of the proposed rule is admirable, one provision contains a flaw that would not prevent the concentration of ownership of a clearinghouse by dealer banks. Specifically, one of the proposed models of governance contains a provision by which a clearing facility may choose to limit the ownership voting interest of any participant, such as a dealer bank, to no more than 5 percent of the total, with no limitation on aggregate ownership by banks. This is the alternative to a limitation of 20 percent of voting interest by any single institution and 40 percent of voting interest owned collectively by all institutions.

The same principle of limited conflicts of interest applies to swap execution facilities, the exchanges that are the heart of the derivatives reform envisioned by Dodd-Frank. But the ownership restriction deals with clearinghouses only, remaining silent on any similar limits on exchange ownership. This loophole, coupled with the 5 percent alternative limit for clearinghouses, endangers the true intent of the Dodd-Frank derivative reforms.

I urge the commission to eliminate the 5 percent alternative, to ensure that banks cannot use it as back door to continue their dominance of clearing facilities, continuing their high profits in an anticompetitive market. I also ask that you consider a rule extending the 20 percent/40 percent ownership limitations to exchanges as well as clearinghouses. Without such steps, we run the danger of seeing banks continue to control and exploit an uncompetitive market. The result would be a lack of transparency and accountability would run counter to the spirit and objectives of Dodd-Frank and prolong the danger of economic crisis in the future.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Rob Husong'.

Rob Husong

Cc: Senator Blanche Lincoln; Senator Mark Pryor