

C.F.T.C.

PETROLEUM MARKETERS

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARIAT

2010 APR 26 PM 12 57

8 Emery Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Randolph, NJ 07869-1362  
(973) 366-1400  
(973) 366-5712 (FAX)

April 20, 2010

COMMENT

David Stawick, Secretary  
U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
Three Lafayette Centre  
1155 21<sup>st</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20581

10-2  
107

COMMENT

**Subject:** Comments on Proposed Speculative Position Limits for Energy (File #10-002)

Dear Mr. Stawick:

I am writing today to endorse comments submitted by the Petroleum Marketers Association of America and the New England Fuel Institute submitted on April 9, 2010 on the proposed rule to implement speculative position limits for futures and options contracts for natural gas, crude oil, heating oil and gasoline. I am also writing to add my own thoughts on this matter to the public record.

Futures markets were designed as a tool for *bona fide* commercial businesses and end-users to manage risk and “discover” prices for energy based on supply and demand economics. Businesses and consumers rely on these markets and are harmed when they become excessively volatile or subject to extreme price shocks, as we saw with the 2007-2008 energy bubble. In the past ten years, such events have become common and federal regulators failed to take assertive action to address the causes and to restore confidence in the energy futures markets.

By strengthening and passing this proposed rulemaking, the Commission has an opportunity to take an important step in this regard. It will be addressing the main cause of recent market instability – *excessive speculation*. Financial investors, including banks, hedge funds and index funds, speculate in the energy commodities markets for profit, rather than commodity-related businesses and users, who do so to protect themselves from volatility and risk. Speculators take on the risk that hedgers seek to shed, however speculation should not dominate the markets. Moreover, one speculator or class of speculator should not be allowed to take a large, controlling position in any a single commodity.


As a supplier to BP, Gulf, and Shell service stations R. P. C., Inc. purchases petroleum products from those respective suppliers and they change their prices daily in conjunction with the changes in the petroleum futures markets. Therefore, excessive speculation has produced tremendous volatility, which has in turn had a very dramatic effect on our business and the consumers of motor fuel our service stations supply.

The Commission has a statutory obligation, if not a compelling moral obligation, to establish hard limits on the size of positions that speculators can take in these markets, and to bar them from any exemptions. The rule that has been proposed is not perfect, and again, I strongly urge the technical improvements suggested by the comments I have written to endorse.

In considering the rule, Commissioners must look past opposition by the financial community and remember the affect that excessive speculation has on businesses like mine, my consumers and the broader economy. It should establish restrictive speculative position limits, and implement them expeditiously, before we see a repeat of the 2007-2008 energy bubble and another major shock to a country still recovering from recession.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,  
R. P. C., Inc.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lawrence S. Ray". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end of the last name.

Lawrence S. Ray,  
President